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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a finite group with a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$. We show that the character table of $G$ determines whether $P$ has maximal nilpotency class and whether $P$ is a minimal non-abelian group. The latter result is obtained from a precise classification of the corresponding groups $G$ in terms of their composition factors. For $p$-constrained groups $G$ we prove further that the character table determines whether $P$ can be generated by two elements.
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## 1 Introduction

Recently, Navarro and the second author 24 have investigated finite groups $G$ with a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ such that $\left|P: P^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$ or $|P: \mathrm{Z}(P)|=p^{2}$ where $P^{\prime}=[P, P]$ denotes the commutator subgroup and $\mathrm{Z}(P)$ is the center of $P$. It was proved that both properties can be read off from the character table $X(G)$ of $G$. This was another contribution to Richard Brauer's Problem 12 of [3, which asks what properties of a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ are determined by $X(G)$. We refer the reader to the introduction of [24] and [32] for a collection of the known results on this problem. We just mention that one important property is that $X(G)$ knows whether $P$ is abelian. While there is an elementary proof of the case $p=2$ by Camina-Herzog [4], the full solution has required the classification of finite simple groups (see [17, 26, 19]).

After dealing with $P^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{Z}(P)$, it is natural to turn our attention to the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(P)$ of $P$. Recall that $|P: \Phi(P)| \leq p$ holds if and only if $P$ is cyclic. It is easy to show that this property can be read off from $X(G)$ (see [21, Corollary 3.12]). In the first part of the present paper we consider groups $G$ with $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$, i. e. $P$ is generated by two elements, but not by one. For $p=2$ this property is detectable by $X(G)$ as was shown by Navarro et al. [23]. We obtain the corresponding result for odd primes $p$ provided that $G$ is $p$-constrained in Corollary 5. In the general case we offer a partial solution depending on the socle of $G$ (see Proposition 6 and the subsequent remark).

Our next objective are groups with Sylow $p$-subgroups $P$ of maximal nilpotency class. For $p=2$, this property is equivalent to $\left|P: P^{\prime}\right|=4$. This case was previously handled in an elementary fashion by Navarro-Sambale-Tiep [25]. The general result is our first main theorem.

[^0]Theorem A. The character table of a finite group $G$ determines whether $G$ has Sylow $p$-subgroups of maximal nilpotency class.

It is known that $X(G)$ determines the isomorphism types of abelian Sylow subgroups. Of course we cannot expect this for maximal class Sylow subgroups as $X\left(D_{8}\right)=X\left(Q_{8}\right)$. Perhaps surprisingly, $X(G)$ does not even determine $X(P)$. Counterexamples for $p=3$ arise as semidirect products of non-equivalent faithful actions of $\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$ on $C_{9} \times C_{9}$ (the groups are $\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}\left(2^{3} 3^{5}, a\right)$ with $a \in$ $\{2289,2290\}$ in GAP [9]). Here $P$ indeed has maximal class. This is related to [22, Question E].

We obtain Theorem A as a consequence of the following structure description, which might be of independent interest.

Theorem B. Let $G$ be a finite group with a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of maximal class. Suppose that $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) There exists $x \in P$ such that $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=p^{2}$.
(ii) $G$ is quasisimple and $|\mathrm{Z}(G)| \leq p$.

The proof uses recent work by Grazian-Parker [12] on fusion systems and is given in Section 3.
In the final part of the paper we study groups with minimal non-abelian Sylow $p$-subgroups $P$, i. e. $P$ is non-abelian, but every proper subgroup of $P$ is abelian. It is easy to see that this happens if and only if $|P: \mathrm{Z}(P)|=|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ (see Lemma 9 below). Refining [24, Theorem 7.5], we obtain in Section 4 the following description:

Theorem C. Let $G$ be a finite group with a minimal non-abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ and $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $p=2, P \in\left\{D_{8}, Q_{8}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \in\left\{\mathrm{SL}(2, q), \mathrm{PSL}\left(2, q^{\prime}\right), A_{7}\right\}$ where $q \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$ and $q^{\prime} \equiv \pm 7$ $(\bmod 16)$.
(ii) $|P|=p^{3}$ and $\exp (P)=p>2$.
(iii) $G=P \rtimes Q$ where $Q \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$.
(iv) $p>2, \mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)=S \rtimes C_{p^{a}}$ where $S$ is a simple group of Lie type with cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroups. The image of $C_{p^{a}}$ in $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ has order $p$.
(v) $p=2$ and $G=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{f}\right) \rtimes C_{2^{a} d}$ where $q$ is a prime, $q^{f} \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$ and $d \mid f$. Moreover, $C_{2^{a}}$ acts as a diagonal automorphism of order 2 on $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{f}\right)$ and $C_{d}$ induces a field automorphism of order $d$.
(vi) $p=3$ and $\mathrm{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=\operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}\left(3, q^{f}\right) \rtimes C_{3^{a}}$ where $\epsilon= \pm 1, q$ is a prime, $\left(q^{f}-\epsilon\right)_{3}=3$ and $G / \mathrm{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G) \leq$ $C_{f} \times C_{2}$.

Here, $\mathrm{PSL}^{\epsilon}$ stands for PSL if $\epsilon=1$ and PSU otherwise. Again the proof is based on the classification of the corresponding fusion systems. To show that Case iv in Theorem C occurs for all odd primes $p$, we will exhibit appropriate examples after the proof.

Corollary D. The character table of a finite group $G$ determines whether $G$ has minimal non-abelian Sylow p-subgroups.

## 2 2-generated Sylow subgroups

In the following $G$ will always denote a finite group. The exponent of $G$ is denoted by $\exp (G)$. The core of a subgroup $H \leq G$ is defined by $\operatorname{core}_{G}(H):=\bigcap_{g \in G} g H g^{-1} \unlhd G$. For $x, y \in G$ let $[x, y]:=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$. The Fitting subgroup and the generalized Fitting subgroup of $G$ are denoted by $\mathrm{F}(G)$ and $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)=$ $\mathrm{F}(G) \mathrm{E}(G)$ respectively. We write $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ to denote the set of ordinary complex irreducible characters of $G$. For $g \in G$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Q}(g):=\mathbb{Q}(\chi(g): \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)), \\
& \mathbb{Q}(\chi):=\mathbb{Q}(\chi(g): g \in G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ lies in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$ where $n=|G|$. Let $f_{\chi}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{f_{\chi}}\left(f_{\chi}\right.$ is called the Feit number in [21]). Let $\operatorname{Irr}_{p^{\prime}}(G):=\{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G): p \nmid$ $\chi(1)\}$ as usual. The $p$-part and the $p^{\prime}$-part of an integer $n$ are denoted by $n_{p}$ and $n_{p^{\prime}}$ respectively.

Our first lemma is applied multiple times throughout the paper.

Lemma 1. Let $A$ be an abelian normal subgroup of $G$ such that $G=\langle x\rangle A$ for some $x \in G$. Then the map $A \rightarrow G^{\prime}, a \mapsto[x, a]$ is an epimorphism with kernel $\mathrm{C}_{A}(x)$. In particular, $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=\left|A / \mathrm{C}_{A}(x)\right|$.

Proof. See [16, Lemma 4.6].

To get from $P^{\prime}$ to $\Phi(P)$ we need the following variant.

Lemma 2. Let $P$ be a p-group with a proper normal subgroup $Q$ and $x \in P$ such that $P=\langle x\rangle Q$ and $\langle x\rangle \cap Q \leq P^{\prime}$. Then $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ if and only if $\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q / \Phi(Q)}(x)\right|=p$.

Proof. Since $\langle x\rangle \cap Q \leq P^{\prime} \leq \Phi(P)$ and $Q<P$, we have

$$
P / \Phi(P)=Q \Phi(P) / \Phi(P) \times\langle x\rangle \Phi(P) / \Phi(P) \cong Q /(Q \cap \Phi(P)) \times C_{p}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\Phi(P) \cap Q=P^{\prime} \Phi(Q)\left\langle x^{p}\right\rangle \cap Q=P^{\prime} \Phi(Q)\left(\left\langle x^{p}\right\rangle \cap Q\right)=P^{\prime} \Phi(Q)
$$

Now $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ if and only if

$$
\left|Q / \Phi(Q):(P / \Phi(Q))^{\prime}\right|=\left|Q: P^{\prime} \Phi(Q)\right|=p
$$

By Lemma 1 applied to $Q / \Phi(Q) \unlhd P / \Phi(Q)$, this is equivalent to $\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q / \Phi(Q)}(x)\right|=p$.

The next result is a variation of [24, Theorem 6.1].

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a finite group with a Sylow p-subgroup $P$ and $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Then

$$
K:=\bigcap_{\substack{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{\prime}}(G) \\ p^{2} \nmid f_{\chi}}} \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)=\operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P)) .
$$

Proof. Let $n:=|G|$. If $n_{p}=1$, then the claim holds since $\bigcap_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)=1=P$. Thus, let $n_{p} \neq 1$. Then $\mathcal{G}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n} \mid \mathbb{Q}_{p n_{p^{\prime}}}\right)$ is a $p$-group. Let $N:=\operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P))$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ with $p^{2} \nmid f_{\chi}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}\left(\chi_{P}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\chi) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{p n_{p^{\prime}}}, \mathcal{G}$ permutes the irreducible constituents of $\chi_{P}$. Since the sizes of the $\mathcal{G}$-orbits are $p$-powers and $p \nmid \chi(1)$, there must be a linear constituent $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(P \mid \chi)$ fixed by $\mathcal{G}$, i. e. $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. It follows that $N \subseteq \Phi(P) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\lambda)$. By Clifford theory, $\chi_{N}$ is a sum of conjugates of $\lambda_{N}$. Hence, $N \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\chi)$. This shows that $N \leq K$.
Now let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(P / \Phi(P))$. This time, $\mathcal{G}$ acts on the irreducible constituents of $\lambda^{G}$. Since $p \nmid \mid G$ : $P \mid=\lambda^{G}(1)$, there must be a constituent $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{p^{\prime}}(G \mid \lambda)$ fixed by $\mathcal{G}$, i. e. $p^{2} \nmid f_{\chi}$. This implies $\chi_{P \cap K}=$ $\chi(1) 1_{P \cap K}$. On the other hand, $\lambda_{P \cap K}$ is a constituent of $\chi_{P \cap K}$. Therefore, $P \cap K \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\lambda)$. Since $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}(P / \Phi(P))$ was arbitrary, we obtain $P \cap K \leq \Phi(P)$. Now Tate's theorem (see [15, Satz IV.4.7]) yields that $K$ is $p$-nilpotent. By hypothesis, $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(K) \leq \mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $K$ is a $p$-group. Finally, $K \leq \mathrm{O}_{p}(G) \cap K \leq P \cap K \leq \Phi(P)$ and $K \leq N$.

We mention that the characters $\chi$ with $p^{2} \nmid f_{\chi}$ are precisely the almost $p$-rational characters introduced in [14]. Lemma 3 allows to read off $K:=\operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P))$ from the character table. Since $|P / K: \Phi(P / K)|=|P: \Phi(P)|$, it is therefore no loss to assume that $K=1$. The next theorem comes close to [24, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a finite group with a non-abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ such that $|P: \Phi(P)|=$ $p^{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1=\operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P))$. Then $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G$ and $P \mathrm{~F}^{*}(G) / \mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ is cyclic. If $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ is non-abelian, then $P$ permutes the simple components of $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ transitively. In particular, their number is a p-power in this case.

Proof. Let $N$ be a minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|P N / N: \Phi(P N / N)| & =|P / P \cap N: \Phi(P / P \cap N)|=|P / P \cap N: \Phi(P)(P \cap N) / P \cap N| \\
& =|P: \Phi(P)(P \cap N)| \leq|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from [16, Lemma 4.5], for instance. Suppose first that $P \cap N \leq \Phi(P)$. Then by Tate's theorem (see [15, Satz IV.4.7]), $N$ is a $p$-group and $N \leq \Phi(P)$. This contradicts $\operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P))=1$. Consequently, $|P N / N: \Phi(P N / N)| \leq p$ and $P N / N$ is cyclic. Let $M \neq N$ be another minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Then $G / N$ and similarly $G / M$ have cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroups. Since $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G / M \times G / N, G$ has abelian Sylow $p$-subgroups, which we have excluded explicitly. This shows that $N$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup.

Assume now that $N$ is non-abelian. Then $\mathrm{F}(G) \cap N=1$ implies $\mathrm{F}(G)=1=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)=$ $\mathrm{E}(G)=N$. Write $N=T_{1} \times \ldots \times T_{n}$ with non-abelian simple groups $T_{1} \cong \ldots \cong T_{n}$. If $P \leq N$, using that $P$ is 2 -generated and non-abelian, we conclude that $n=1$ and $P$ certainly acts transitively on $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\}$. Hence, we may assume that $P \nsubseteq N$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $Q_{i}:=P \cap T_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $x \in P$ such that $P N / N=\langle x N\rangle$. Since $P \cap N \nsubseteq \Phi(P)$, there exists some $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $Q_{i} \nsubseteq \Phi(P)$. Without loss of generality, let $i=1$. Choose $y \in Q_{1} \backslash \Phi(P)$. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we note that $x y^{j} \notin N \supseteq \Phi(P)$. Since $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$, it follows that $P=\langle x, y\rangle$. Without loss of generality, let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ be the orbit of $T_{1}$ under $P$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $k<n$. Then $Q_{1} \ldots Q_{k} \unlhd P$ and $Q_{k+1} \times \ldots \times Q_{n} \leq P / Q_{1} \ldots Q_{k}=\left\langle x Q_{1} \ldots Q_{k}\right\rangle$ is cyclic. This is only possible if $n=k+1$ and $Q_{n}$ is cyclic. Moreover, $Q_{n}=\left\langle x^{p^{a}} z\right\rangle$ for some $a \geq 1$ and $z \in Q_{1} \cdots Q_{k}$. Since a non-abelian simple group cannot have a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup, $p>2$. It follows from [13, Theorem A] that $x$ induces an inner automorphism on $T_{n}$. This is impossible since $x^{p^{a}}$ induces an inner automorphism of order $\left|T_{n}\right|_{p}$. This contradiction shows that $P$ permutes the $T_{i}$ transitively.

Finally, assume that $N$ is elementary abelian. Since $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$, we have $F:=\mathrm{F}(G)=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$. Suppose that $N<F$. Then $\Phi(F) \leq \Phi(P)$ yields $\Phi(F) \leq \operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P))=1$, i. e. $F$ is elementary abelian. Now the existence of an element of order $p$ in $P \backslash N$ implies the existence of a (cyclic) complement of $N$ in $P$. By a theorem of Gaschütz (see [15, Hauptsatz I.17.4]), $N$ has a complement $K$ in $G$. Since $F$ centralizes $N$, we obtain $1 \neq K \cap F \unlhd N K=G$. This contradicts the fact that $N$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Hence, $F=N$. Suppose that $\mathrm{E}(G) \neq 1$ and choose a central product $M \unlhd G$ of quasisimple components. Then $N \leq \mathrm{Z}(M)$, because $1 \neq N \cap M \unlhd G$. Since $M / N$ has cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroups, the order of the Schur multiplier of $M / N$ is not divisible by $p$. This contradicts $N \leq \mathrm{Z}(M)$. We have therefore shown that $N=\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$.

In order to decide whether $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$, we may assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are fulfilled. The situation now splits into two cases. When $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ is abelian, the group $G$ is $p$-constrained (recall that in general a group $G$ is called $p$-constrained if $\mathrm{C}_{\bar{G}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{p}(\bar{G})\right) \leq \mathrm{O}_{p}(\bar{G})$ where $\bar{G}:=G / \mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ ). In this case we solve the problem completely. To do so, we will use a result of Higman (see [21, Corollary 7.18]) that allows to locate the $p$-elements in $X(G)$.

Corollary 5. The character table of a p-constrained group $G$ determines whether a Sylow p-subgroup $P$ is generated by two elements.

Proof. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. Since the character table $X(G)$ determines $X\left(G / \mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right)$, we may assume that $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Since $G$ is $p$-constrained, $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)>1$. By Lemma 3. we may assume that $\operatorname{core}_{G}(\Phi(P))=1$. Moreover, the orders and embeddings of the normal subgroups of $G$ can be read off from $X(G)$. Hence by Theorem 4, we may assume that $N=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)=\mathrm{F}(G)$ is the only minimal normal subgroup of $G$. If $P=N$, then $|P: \Phi(P)|=|P|$ and we are done. Hence, let $N<P$. By [21, Corollary 3.12], $X(G / N)$ detects whether $P / N$ is cyclic. By Theorem 4, we can assume that this is the case. Choose $x \in P$ with $P / N=\langle x N\rangle$ (note that $x$ can be spotted in $X(G)$ using [21, Corollary 3.12]). Since $P=N\langle x\rangle=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\langle x\rangle$ is the only Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ containing $x, \mathrm{C}_{P}(x)=\mathrm{C}_{N}(x)\langle x\rangle$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)$. In particular, $\left|\mathrm{C}_{N}(x)\right|=\frac{\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right| p}{|P / N|}$ is determined by $X(G)$. By Lemma 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}=[x, N]=\{[x, y]: y \in N\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=\left|N / \mathrm{C}_{N}(x)\right|$ can be computed from $X(G)$. Let $|P / N|=p^{a}$ and $\left|N / P^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$. If $x^{p^{a}} \in P^{\prime}$, then $P / P^{\prime} \cong C_{p^{a}} \times C_{p}^{n}$ and otherwise $P / P^{\prime} \cong C_{p^{a+1}} \times C_{p}^{n-1}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ can be read off from $X(G)$, it suffices to show that

$$
p|\mathbb{Q}(x): \mathbb{Q}|_{p}=\exp \left(P / P^{\prime}\right)
$$

Taking only $X(G / N)$ into account, we obtain $\mathbb{Q}(x N)=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{a}}$ or equivalently $|\mathbb{Q}(x N): \mathbb{Q}|_{p}=p^{a-1}$ by [21, Theorem 3.11]. It follows that $|\mathbb{Q}(x): \mathbb{Q}|_{p} \geq p^{a-1}$. If $x^{p^{a}}=1$, then $p|\mathbb{Q}(x): \mathbb{Q}|_{p}=p^{a}=\exp \left(P / P^{\prime}\right)$ as desired. Now let $|\langle x\rangle|=p^{a+1}$. If $x^{p^{a}} \in P^{\prime}$, then there exists $y \in N$ with $x^{p^{a}}=[x, y]=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ by (2.1). It follows that $y x y^{-1}=x^{1-p^{a}}$ and $\left|\mathrm{N}_{G}(\langle x\rangle): \mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=p$. Again by [21, Theorem 3.11], we have $p|\mathbb{Q}(x): \mathbb{Q}|_{p}=p^{a}=\exp \left(P / P^{\prime}\right)$. Assume conversely that $|\mathbb{Q}(x): \mathbb{Q}|_{p}=p^{a-1}$. Then there exists $y \in G$ with $y x y^{-1}=x^{1+k p^{a}}$ for some $0<k<p$. We observe that $y \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}(\langle x\rangle N)=\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$. Replacing $y$ by its $p$-part, we get $y \in P$. Now $x^{-k p^{a}}=[x, y] \in P^{\prime}$ and $\exp \left(P / P^{\prime}\right)=p^{a}$ as desired.

If $G$ is $p$-solvable in the situation of Corollary 5 (recall that every $p$-solvable group is $p$-constrained), then $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$ has a complement $K$ in $\mathrm{O}_{p p^{\prime}}(G)$ by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem. Using the Frattini argument, it is easy to show that $\mathrm{N}_{G}(K)$ is a complement of $N$ in $G$. In this situation, $G$ is a primitive permutation group on $N$ of affine type.

On the other hand, every non-abelian simple group $S$ gives rise to a non-split extension $G=N . S$ where $N=\Phi(G)$ is elementary abelian without complement (see [7, Theorem B.11.8]). Garrison [10] has exhibited examples to show that $X(G)$ does not determine whether $G$ splits over $N$. For instance, $\operatorname{PerfectGroup}(7500,1) \cong C_{5}^{3} \rtimes A_{5}$ and $\operatorname{PerfectGroup}(7500,2) \cong C_{5}^{3} \cdot A_{5}$ in GAP [9] have the same character table and the Sylow 5 -subgroup is 2 -generated in both cases.

Now assume that $N=\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ in the situation of Theorem 4 is non-abelian. If $N \cap P$ is abelian, then $N$ has a complement in $P N$ by [15, Satz IV.3.8]. In this case $P N$ is a twisted wreath product. The non-split extension $M_{10}=A_{6} . C_{2}$ with $P=S D_{16}$, a semidihedral group, shows that this is not always the case. Even when $N$ is not simple, $P \cap N$ is not always abelian (as in [24, Theorem 3.1]). One example is

$$
G=\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)^{2} \rtimes\langle x\rangle \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,7)^{2} \rtimes C_{4} \leq \operatorname{PGL}(2,7) \imath C_{2}
$$

where $x^{2}$ acts as a diagonal automorphism on both factors $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$ simultaneously. Here $P=D_{8}^{2} \rtimes C_{4}$ is 2-generated. Nevertheless, we provide the following reduction theorem.

Proposition 6. Let $G$ be a finite group with Sylow p-subgroup $P$ such that $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $N=\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Suppose that $N$ is non-abelian and $P N / N$ is cyclic. Let $S$ be a simple component of $N$. Assume that $\left|G: \mathrm{N}_{G}(S)\right|$ is a p-power. Then the following hold:
(i) $G=\mathrm{N}_{G}(S) P$.
 socle $\tilde{S}:=S \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) \cong S$. Moreover, $\tilde{P} \tilde{S} / \tilde{S}$ is cyclic.
(iii) $|P: \Phi(P)| \leq p^{2}$ if and only if $|\tilde{P}: \Phi(\tilde{P})| \leq p^{2}$.
(iv) $S$ and $|\tilde{P}|$ are determined by $X(G)$.

## Proof.

(i) Since $\left|G: \mathrm{N}_{G}(S)\right|$ is a p-power, $\left|\mathrm{N}_{G}(S) P\right|=\left|\mathrm{N}_{G}(S): \mathrm{N}_{P}(S)\right||P|=|G|$ and $G=\mathrm{N}_{G}(S) P$.
(ii) By (i), $\mathrm{N}_{P}(S)$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(S)$. Hence, $\tilde{P}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$. Let $Q:=N \cap P \unlhd P$. Then $P / Q \cong P N / N$ is cyclic by hypothesis. Let $x \in P$ such that $P=\langle x\rangle Q$. Then $\tilde{P} \tilde{S} / \tilde{S} \cong \mathrm{~N}_{P}(S) S \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) / S \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) \leq\langle x\rangle S \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) / S \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$ is cyclic.
(iii) If $P \leq N \leq \mathrm{N}_{G}(S)$, then $S \unlhd G$ and $N=S$. Here, $P \cong \tilde{P}$, so we are done. Now assume $P N / N \neq 1$. As in (ii), let $Q:=N \cap P \unlhd P$. Since $\mathrm{O}^{p}(P N)=N$, there exists $x \in P$ such that $P=\langle x\rangle Q$ and $\langle x\rangle \cap Q \leq P^{\prime}$ (see [2, Satz 3.3]). Lemma 2 yields $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ if and only if $\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q / \Phi(Q)}(x)\right|=p$.
By (i), we may write $N=T_{1} \times \ldots \times T_{p^{a}}$ such that $T_{i}=x^{i-1} S x^{1-i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p^{a}$. Let $Q_{i}:=$ $T_{i} \cap P \leq Q$. Then $\tilde{Q}:=Q_{1} \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) \cong Q_{1}$ is a normal subgroup of $\tilde{P}$. Since $\mathrm{N}_{P}(S)=\left\langle x^{p^{a}}\right\rangle Q$, we have $\tilde{P}=\langle\tilde{x}\rangle \tilde{Q}$ where $\tilde{x}:=x^{p^{a}} \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$. It is easy to see that the map

$$
\mathrm{C}_{Q_{1} / \Phi\left(Q_{1}\right)}\left(x^{p^{a}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{Q / \Phi(Q)}(x), \quad y \Phi\left(Q_{1}\right) \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{p^{a}-1} x^{i} y x^{-i} \Phi(Q)
$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, $\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q / \Phi(Q)}(x)\right|=\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q_{1} / \Phi\left(Q_{1}\right)}\left(x^{p^{a}}\right)\right|$. Assume for the moment that $x^{p^{a}} \in Q$. Then $\tilde{P}=\tilde{Q} \leq \tilde{S}$ and $\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q_{1} / \Phi\left(Q_{1}\right)}\left(x^{p^{a}}\right)\right|=\left|Q_{1} / \Phi\left(Q_{1}\right)\right|=|\tilde{P} / \Phi(\tilde{P})|$. In this case, $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ if and only if $\tilde{P}$ is cyclic, i. e. $|\tilde{P}: \Phi(\tilde{P})|=p$. Now let $x^{p^{a}} \notin Q$. By way of
contradiction, suppose that $x^{p^{a}} \in Q_{1} \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$. Then there exists $y \in Q_{1}$ such that $x^{p^{a}} y \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$. Now also

$$
z:=x^{p^{a^{p^{a}}}} \prod_{i=0}^{p^{a}-1} x^{i} y x^{-i} \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)
$$

Since $z$ is centralized by $x$, it follows that $z \in x^{i} \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) x^{-i}=\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(T_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, p^{a}$. Hence, $z \in \mathrm{C}_{G}(N)=1$ and $x^{p^{a}} \in Q$, a contradiction. Thus, $\tilde{Q}<\tilde{P}$ and

$$
\tilde{Q} \cap\langle\tilde{x}\rangle=\left(Q \cap\left\langle x^{p^{a}}\right\rangle\right) \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) \leq P^{\prime} \mathrm{C}_{G}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)=\tilde{P}^{\prime} .
$$

Lemma 2 shows that $|\tilde{P}: \Phi(\tilde{P})|=p^{2}$ if and only if

$$
\left|\mathrm{C}_{Q_{1} / \Phi\left(Q_{1}\right)}\left(x^{p^{a}}\right)\right|=\left|\mathrm{C}_{\tilde{Q} / \Phi(\tilde{Q})}(\tilde{x})\right|=p .
$$

Now the claim follows.
(iv) The isomorphism types of $N$ and $S$ are determined by $X(G)$ according to [24, Theorem 4.1]. We obtain $\left|\mathrm{N}_{P}(S)\right|$ from $|N|=|S|^{\left|P: \mathrm{N}_{P}(S)\right|}$. Arguing as in (iii), shows that $\mathrm{C}_{P}(S)=\mathrm{C}_{Q}(S)=$ $Q_{2} \ldots Q_{p^{a}}$. Hence, $\left|\mathrm{C}_{P}(S)\right|=|S|_{p}^{p^{a}-1}$ is computable from $X(G)$. The claim follows from $\tilde{P} \cong$ $\mathrm{N}_{P}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{P}(S)$.

To decide whether $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ holds, it suffices to obtain the structure of $\tilde{P}$ with the notation from Proposition 6, If $p \geq 5$ and $S$ is neither a linear nor a unitary group, then $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ has a cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroup by [5, Table 5]. In this case the isomorphism type of $\tilde{P}$ is uniquely determined by $X(G)$ and the problem is solved. On the other hand, the proof of [24, Lemma 5.1] shows that for linear and unitary groups $S$ the condition $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ is not determined by $|\tilde{P}|$ alone. It remains a challenge to settle these cases (and $p=3$ with $S=D_{4}(q), E_{6}(q)$ and ${ }^{2} E_{6}(q)$ ).

## 3 -groups of maximal class

We start by introducing some terminology of (saturated, non-exotic) fusion systems. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ as before. The fusion system $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$ of $G$ on $P$ is a category whose objects are the subgroups of $P$ and the morphisms of $\mathcal{F}$ have the form $f: S \rightarrow T, x \mapsto g x g^{-1}$ where $S, T \leq P$ and $g \in G$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \cong \mathrm{N}_{G}(S) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$ and $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \cong \mathrm{N}_{G}(S) / S \mathrm{C}_{G}(S)$. Elements $x, y \in P$ (or subsets $S, T \subseteq P$ ) are called $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate if there exists a morphism $f$ such that $f(x)=y$ (or $f(S)=T$ ). A subgroup $S \leq P$ is called

- fully normalized, if $\left|\mathrm{N}_{P}(T)\right| \leq\left|\mathrm{N}_{P}(S)\right|$ for all $\mathcal{F}$-conjugates $T$ of $S$.
- centric, if $\mathrm{C}_{P}(T)=\mathrm{Z}(T)$ for all $\mathcal{F}$-conjugates $T$ of $S$.
- radical, if $\mathrm{O}_{p}\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)\right)=\operatorname{Inn}(S)$ (equivalently, $\left.\mathrm{O}_{p}\left(\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)\right)=1\right)$.
- essential, if $S$ is fully normalized, centric and $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ contains a strongly $p$-embedded subgroup (see [1, Definition A.6]). For our purpose, it is enough to know that $S$ is radical in this case.

By Alperin's fusion theorem, every morphism in $\mathcal{F}$ is a composition of restrictions of morphisms $f \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ where $S=P$ or $S$ is essential (see [1, Theorem I.3.5]). Note that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ permutes the essential subgroups by conjugation. Hence, if $Q \leq P$ does not lie in any essential subgroup, then $Q$ is fully normalized. In this case, $\mathrm{N}_{P}(Q)$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(Q)$ (see [1, Lemma I.1.2]). Consequently, $\mathrm{C}_{P}(Q)=\mathrm{N}_{P}(Q) \cap \mathrm{C}_{G}(P)$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{C}_{G}(P)$.

We call $\mathcal{F}$ controlled if $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$ controls the fusion in $P$ with respect to $G$, i. e. every morphism $S \rightarrow T$ has the form $x \mapsto g x g^{-1}$ for some $g \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}(P)$. Abstractly, this means that there are no essential subgroups and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(P \rtimes A)$ for some Schur-Zassenhaus complement $A$ of $\operatorname{Inn}(P)$ in Aut $\mathcal{F}(P)$. More generally, $\mathcal{F}$ is called constrained if there exists $Q \unlhd P$ such that $\mathrm{C}_{P}(Q)=\mathrm{Z}(Q)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{G}(Q)$ controls the fusion in $P$. By the model theorem (see [1, Theorem I.4.9]), a constrained fusion system is realized by a unique group $G$ such that $\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\right) \leq \mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$ (note that $G$ is $p$-constrained). The largest subgroup $Q \unlhd P$ such that $\mathrm{N}_{G}(Q)$ controls the fusion in $P$ is denoted by $\mathrm{O}_{p}(\mathcal{F})$. Note that $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G) \leq \mathrm{O}_{p}(\mathcal{F})$.

It is well-known that a $p^{\prime}$-automorphism of $Q \leq P$ acts non-trivially on $Q / \Phi(Q)$. If $Q$ is radical, it follows that $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ acts faithfully on $Q / \Phi(Q)$. Now assume that there exists a series of characteristic subgroups $\Phi(Q)=Q_{0}<\ldots<Q_{n}=Q$ of $Q$. Then $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ acts faithfully on $Q_{n} / Q_{n-1} \times \ldots \times Q_{1} / Q_{0}$ by [11, 5.3.2]. This argument will often be applied in the following to exclude same candidates of essential subgroups.

We say that a $p$-group $P$ of order $p^{n}$ has maximal class if the nilpotency class is $n-1$. This may include the case $|P|=p^{2}$. The 2-groups of maximal class are the dihedral groups (including $C_{2}^{2}$ ), the semidihedral groups, the (generalized) quaternion groups and $C_{4}$ (see [15, Satz III.11.9]). Now assume that $n \geq 4$ and $p>2$ to avoid some degenerate cases. Let $\mathrm{K}_{2}(P)=P^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{i+1}(P)=\left[P, \mathrm{~K}_{i}(P)\right]$ for $i \geq 2$. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{0}(P):=1$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{i+1}\left(P / \mathrm{Z}_{i}(P)\right):=\mathrm{Z}\left(P / \mathrm{Z}_{i}(P)\right)$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $\mathrm{K}_{i}(P)=\mathrm{Z}_{n-i}(P)$ is the only normal subgroup of $P$ of index $p^{i}$ by [15, Hilfssatz III.14.2]. It is easy to see that the characteristic subgroups $P_{1}:=\mathrm{C}_{P}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{2}(P) / \mathrm{K}_{4}(P)\right)$ and $P_{2}:=\mathrm{C}_{P}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P)\right)$ are maximal in $P$.

Lemma 7. Let $P$ be a p-group with a non-abelian subgroup $Q \leq P$ of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$. If $\mathrm{C}_{P}(Q)=\mathrm{Z}(Q)$, then $\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P) \leq Q$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Z}(P) \leq \mathrm{C}_{P}(Q)$, we have $Z:=\mathrm{Z}(P)=\mathrm{Z}(Q) \cong C_{p}$. Let $x Z \in \mathrm{C}_{P / Z}(Q / Z)$. Then $x \in$ $\mathrm{N}_{P}(Q)$. By [35], $\mathrm{N}_{P}(Q) / Q \leq \operatorname{Out}(Q) \cong \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$. As mentioned above, the kernel of the action of Aut $(Q)$ on $Q / Z$ is a $p$-group. Since $\mathrm{O}_{p}(\mathrm{GL}(2, p))=1$, we obtain $x \in Q$. Hence, $\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P) / Z=\mathrm{Z}(P / Z) \leq$ $\mathrm{C}_{P / Z}(Q / Z)=Q / Z$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P) \leq Q$.

Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a finite group with Sylow p-subgroup $P$ of maximal class. Let $N \unlhd G$ such that $p^{2} \leq|N|_{p}<|P|$. Then there exists $x \in P$ such that $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=p^{2}$.

Proof. By hypothesis, $|P| \geq p|N|_{p} \geq p^{3}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Z}(P)$ is the unique normal subgroup of order $p$ of $P$. Since $M:=P \cap N \unlhd P$, we have $\mathrm{Z}(P) \leq N$. If $|P|=p^{3}$, every element $x \in P \backslash N$ cannot be conjugate to an element of $\mathrm{Z}(P) \leq N$. Hence, $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=p^{2}$. Now assume that $|P| \geq p^{4}$. If $p=2$, $P$ is a dihedral, semidihedral or quaternion group and we choose $x \in P$ outside the cyclic maximal subgroup of $P$. For $p>2$, let $x \in P \backslash\left(P_{1} \cup P_{2}\right)$. By [15, Hilfssatz III.14.13], we have $\left|\mathrm{C}_{P}(x)\right|=p^{2}$. Since $|P| \geq p^{4}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}(P)$ is the unique normal subgroup of order $p^{2}$ in $P$. In particular, $\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P) \leq M$ since $|M| \geq p^{2}$. If $p=2$, we may assume that $x \notin M$. For $p>2$, we have $P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup M \subsetneq P$. Again we may choose $x \notin M$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the fusion system of $G$ on $P$. If $x$ is not contained in any essential subgroup, then $\langle x\rangle$ is fully normalized as explained above. It follows that $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=\left|\mathrm{C}_{P}(x)\right|=p^{2}$ and we are done. Now let $Q<P$ be essential containing $x$. By [12, Theorem D ], $Q$ is a so-called pearl, i. e. $Q$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{2}$ or non-abelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$ (or $Q=Q_{8}$ if $p=2$, see [12, Lemma 6.1]). As an essential subgroup, $Q$ is centric and $\mathrm{C}_{P}(Q)=\mathrm{Z}(Q)$. Assume first that $|Q|=p^{2}$. Then

$$
Z:=\mathrm{Z}(P)=M \cap Q=N \cap Q \unlhd \mathrm{~N}_{G}(Q)
$$

Since $Q$ is radical, $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \cong \mathrm{N}_{G}(Q) / Q$ acts faithfully on $Z \times Q / Z \cong C_{p}^{2}$. But then $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ would be a $p^{\prime}$-group in contradiction to $Q<\mathrm{N}_{P}(Q)$. Next let $|Q|=p^{3}$. Here, Lemma 7 shows that $\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P)=M \cap Q=N \cap Q \unlhd \mathrm{~N}_{G}(Q)$. Then $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ acts faithfully on $\mathrm{Z}_{2}(P) / Z \times Q / \mathrm{Z}_{2}(P) \cong C_{p}^{2}$ and we derive another contradiction.

Theorem B. Let $G$ be a finite group with a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of maximal class. Suppose that $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) There exists $x \in P$ such that $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=p^{2}$.
(ii) $G$ is quasisimple and $|\mathrm{Z}(G)| \leq p$.

Proof. We may assume that $G$ is not simple and $|P| \geq p^{3}$. Let $N<G$ be a maximal normal subgroup. Then $1<|N|_{p}<|P|$ as $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)=G$. If $|N|_{p} \geq p^{2}$, then the claim follows from Lemma 8. Hence, let $|N|_{p}=p$. Then $P \cap N \unlhd P$ has index $p^{s} \geq p^{2}$ and therefore $P \cap N=\mathrm{K}_{s}(P) \leq P^{\prime}$. By Tate's theorem (see [15, Satz IV.4.7]), $N$ has a normal $p$-complement. Since $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$, this forces $|N|=p$. Since $\left|G: \mathrm{C}_{G}(N)\right|$ divides $p-1$, we further have $N \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since $G / N$ is simple, $G$ is quasisimple with $|\mathrm{Z}(G)| \leq p$.

If Case (iii) in Theorem B applies with $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p$ and (ii) fails, then Robinson's ordinary weight conjecture predicts the existence of an irreducible character $\chi$ in the principal $p$-block such that $p^{2} \chi(1)_{p}=|G|_{p}$ (see [28, Lemma 4.7]). Conversely, such a character can only appear when $P$ has maximal class. Examples are $\operatorname{SL}(2,9)$ for $p=2, \mathrm{SL}(3,19)$ for $p=3$ and $\operatorname{SL}(p, q)$ for $p \geq 5$ where $q-1$ is divisible by $p$ just once. Our proof of Theorem A does however not rely on any conjecture.

Theorem A. The character table of a finite group $G$ determines whether $G$ has Sylow $p$-subgroups of maximal class.

Proof. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. We may assume that $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$ and $|P| \geq p^{3}$. Let $K:=\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)$. The character table detects elements $x \in P$ such that $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}=\left|\mathrm{C}_{K}(x)\right|_{p}=p^{2}$. In this case $\left|\mathrm{C}_{P}(x)\right|=p^{2}$ and $P$ has maximal class by [15, Satz III.14.23]. Hence, by Theorem B we may assume that $K$ is quasisimple with $|\mathrm{Z}(K)| \leq p$. Note that the character table of $G$ determines the isomorphism type of the simple chief factor $K / \mathrm{Z}(K)$ (see [24, Theorem 4.1]). In this way we confirm that the Sylow $p$-subgroup $P / \mathrm{Z}(K)$ of $K / \mathrm{Z}(K)$ has maximal class. If $\mathrm{Z}(K)=1$, then we are done. Otherwise, $P$ has maximal class if and only if $\mathrm{Z}(K)=\mathrm{Z}(P)$. This happens if and only if $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p}<|P|$ for all $x \in P \backslash \mathrm{Z}(K)$.

## 4 Minimal non-abelian Sylow subgroups

The following elementary lemma underlines the importance of minimal non-abelian groups. For elements $x, y, z$ of a group we use the commutator convention $[x, y, z]:=[x,[y, z]]$.

Lemma 9. For a p-group $P$ the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $P$ is minimal non-abelian.
(2) $|P: \Phi(P)|=|P: \mathrm{Z}(P)|=p^{2}$.
(3) $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$ and $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=p$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Since $P$ is non-abelian, there exist non-commuting elements $x, y \in P$. Since $\langle x, y\rangle$ is non-abelian, we have $P=\langle x, y\rangle$. By Burnside's basis theorem, $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$. Choose distinct maximal subgroups $S, T<P$. Since $S$ and $T$ are abelian and $P=S T$, it follows that $\Phi(P)=S \cap T \subseteq \mathrm{Z}(P)$. It is well-known that $P / \mathrm{Z}(P)$ cannot be a non-trivial cyclic group. In particular, $|P: \mathrm{Z}(P)| \geq p^{2}$ and $\Phi(P)=\mathrm{Z}(P)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Let $\mathrm{Z}(P)<S<P$. Since $S / \mathrm{Z}(P)$ is cyclic and $\mathrm{Z}(P) \leq \mathrm{Z}(S)$, we obtain that $S$ is abelian. Pick $x \in P \backslash S$. Then Lemma 1 yields that $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=|S: \mathrm{Z}(P)|=p$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ : Obviously, $P$ is non-abelian since $P^{\prime} \neq 1$. For $g, x \in P$ we have $g x g^{-1}=[g, x] x \in P^{\prime} x$. Thus, every conjugacy class lies in a coset of $P^{\prime}$. The hypothesis $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=p$ implies $\left|P: \mathrm{C}_{P}(x)\right| \leq p$ for every $x \in P$. Since $\Phi(P)$ is the intersection of the maximal subgroups of $P$, we deduce $\Phi(P) \leq \bigcap_{x \in P} \mathrm{C}_{P}(x)=\mathrm{Z}(P)$. Now for every maximal subgroup $S<P$, we see that $S / \mathrm{Z}(S)$ is cyclic and $S$ must be abelian. We conclude that $P$ is minimal non-abelian.

The non-nilpotent, minimal non-abelian groups were classified by Miller-Moreno [20]. The nilpotent ones are $p$-groups and have been determined by Rédei [30]. For the convenience of the reader we give a proof.

Lemma 10 (Rédei). Every minimal non-abelian p-group belongs to one of the following classes:
(i) $\Gamma(a, b):=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{p^{a}}=y^{p^{b}}=1, y x y^{-1}=x^{1+p^{a-1}}\right\rangle$ a metacyclic group where $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq 1$.
(ii) $\Delta(a, b):=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{p^{a}}=y^{p^{b}}=[x, y]^{p}=[x, x, y]=[y, x, y]=1\right\rangle$ where $a \geq b \geq 1$.
(iii) $Q_{8}$.

Proof. Let $P$ be minimal non-abelian. By Lemma 9, there exist $x, y \in P$ such that $P / P^{\prime}=\left\langle x P^{\prime}\right\rangle \times$ $\left\langle y P^{\prime}\right\rangle \cong C_{p^{a}} \times C_{p^{b}}$. Since $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=p$, we have $P^{\prime}=\langle z\rangle$ where $z:=[x, y]$. Note that $P^{\prime} \leq \Phi(P)=\mathrm{Z}(P)$ and $[x, z]=[y, z]=1$. We distinguish three cases:
Case (1): $x^{p^{a}}=y^{p^{b}}=1$.
Here $P$ fulfills the same relations as $\Delta(a, b)$, so it must be a quotient of the latter group. Moreover, every element of $P$ can be written uniquely in the form $x^{i} y^{j} z^{k}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p^{a}, 1 \leq j \leq p^{b}$ and $1 \leq k \leq p$. Consequently, $|P|=p^{a+b+1}$. For the same reason we have $|\Delta(a, b)| \leq p^{a+b+1}$. Therefore, $P \cong \Delta(a, b)$.
Case (2): Either $x^{p^{a}}=1$ or $y^{p^{b}}=1$.
Without loss of generality, let $x^{p^{a}} \neq 1$ and $y^{p^{b}}=1$. Then $P^{\prime} \leq\langle x\rangle \unlhd P$ and $y x y^{-1}=x^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\left\langle x^{p}, y\right\rangle<P$ is abelian, $x^{p}=y x^{p} y^{-1}=x^{k p}$ and $p \equiv k p\left(\bmod p^{a+1}\right)$ as $|\langle x\rangle|=p^{a+1}$. Hence, we may assume that $k=1+p^{a} l$ for some $0<l<p$. Let $0<l^{\prime}<p$ such that $l l^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Then $y^{l^{\prime}} x y^{-l^{\prime}}=x^{\left(1+p^{a} l\right)^{\prime^{\prime}}}=x^{1+p^{a}}$. Thus, after replacing $y$ by $y^{l^{\prime}}$, we obtain $y x y^{-1}=x^{1+p^{a}}$. Now $P$ satisfies the relations of $\Gamma(a+1, b)$. It is clear that these groups have the same order, so $P \cong \Gamma(a+1, b)$.

Case (3): $x^{p^{a}} \neq 1 \neq y^{p^{b}}$.
Without loss of generality, let $a \geq b$. Let $x^{p^{a}}=z^{i}$ and $y^{p^{b}}=z^{j}$ where $0<i, j<p$. Then $\left(x^{j}\right)^{p^{a}}=z^{i j}$, $\left(y^{i}\right)^{p^{b}}=z^{i j}$ and $\left[x^{j}, y^{i}\right]=z^{i j}$ by [15, Hilfssatz III.1.3] (using $z \in \mathrm{Z}(P)$ ). Hence, replacing $x$ by $x^{j}$ and $y$ by $y^{i}$, we may assume that $x^{p^{a}}=z=y^{p^{b}}$. Again by [15, Hilfssatz III.1.3],
unless $p^{b}=p^{a}=2$. In this exceptional case, $P \cong Q_{8}$. Otherwise, we replace $y$ by $x^{p^{a-b}} y^{-1}$. Afterwards we still have $P / P^{\prime}=\left\langle x P^{\prime}\right\rangle \times\left\langle y P^{\prime}\right\rangle$, but now $y^{p^{b}}=1$. Thus, we are in Case (2).

The metacyclic groups $\Gamma(a, b)$ can of course be constructed as semidirect products, while the groups $\Delta(a, b)$ can be constructed as subgroups of $\Gamma(a, b) \times C_{p^{a}}$. For $p=2$, note that $\Gamma(2,1) \cong D_{8} \cong \Delta(1,1)$. Apart from that, the groups in Lemma 10 are pairwise non-isomorphic (for different parameters $a, b$ ).

We digress slightly to present a counterexample to a related question. Since for $p$-groups $P$ in general we have $\Phi(P)=P^{\prime} \mho(P)$ where $\mho(P)=\left\langle x^{p}: x \in P\right\rangle$, one might wonder if $X(G)$ determines the property $|P: \mho(P)|=p^{2}$. For $p=2$, it is well-known that $\mho(P)=\Phi(P)$, so the answer is yes in this case. For $p>2,|P: \mathcal{J}(P)|=p^{2}$ holds if and only if $P$ is metacyclic (see [15, Satz III.11.4]). The following example shows that this is not even determined by $X(P)$.

Proposition 11. For $a \geq 2$ and all primes $p$ the groups $\Gamma(2, a)$ and $\Delta(a, 1)$ have the same character table.

Proof. We denote the generators of $P:=\Gamma(2, a)$ by $x, y$ and those of $\tilde{P}:=\Delta(a, 1)$ by $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ as in Lemma 10. Additionally, let $\tilde{z}:=[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}]$. We consider the maximal subgroups $Q:=\left\langle x^{p}, y\right\rangle \leq P$ and $\tilde{Q}:=\langle\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}\rangle \leq \tilde{P}$. Since $x y x^{-1}=x^{-p} y$ and $\tilde{y} \tilde{x} \tilde{y}^{-1}=\tilde{z}^{-1} \tilde{y}$, the map

$$
Q \rightarrow \tilde{Q}, \quad x^{p} \mapsto z, y \mapsto \tilde{x}
$$

is an isomorphism compatible with the action of $P$ and $\tilde{P}$. The irreducible characters of $P$ of degree $p$ are induced from linear characters of $Q$, which are not $P$-invariant. Since these characters vanish outside $Q$, they correspond naturally to irreducible characters of $\tilde{P}$. On the other hand, the linear characters of $P$ are extensions of characters of $Q$ with $x^{p}$ in their kernel. For $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(Q / P^{\prime}\right)$ the extensions $\hat{\lambda}$ are determined by $\hat{\lambda}(x)=\zeta$ where $\zeta$ is a $p$-th root of unity. Similarly, for $\lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\tilde{Q} / \tilde{P}^{\prime}\right)$ the extensions are determined by $\hat{\lambda}(\tilde{y})=\zeta$. Therefore, the bijection $P \rightarrow \tilde{P}, x^{i+j p} y^{k} \mapsto \tilde{x}^{k} \tilde{y}^{i} \tilde{z}^{j}$ where $0 \leq i, j<p$ and $0 \leq k<p^{a}$ induces the equality of the matrices $X(P)$ and $X(\tilde{P})$.

The second author has investigated fusion systems on minimal non-abelian 2-groups in order to classify blocks with such defect groups (see e.g. [31]). We now determine the fusion systems for odd primes too (partial results were obtained in [36]). It turns out that they all come from finite groups unless $|P|=7^{3}$. We make use of the Frobenius group $M_{9} \cong \operatorname{PSU}(3,2) \cong C_{3}^{2} \rtimes Q_{8}$ with $\operatorname{Out}\left(M_{9}\right) \cong S_{3}$.

Theorem 12. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system on a minimal non-abelian p-group $P$. Then one of the following holds
(i) $P \in\left\{D_{8}, Q_{8}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$ where $G \in\left\{P, S_{4}, \operatorname{GL}(3,2), \operatorname{SL}(2,3)\right\}$.
(ii) $|P|=p^{3}, \exp (P)=p>2$ and the possibilities for $\mathcal{F}$ are given in [29].
(iii) $P \cong \Gamma(a, b), a \geq 2, b \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}\left(C_{p^{a}} \rtimes C_{p^{b} d}\right)$ for some $d \mid p-1$.
(iv) $P \cong \Delta(a, b), a>b$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(P \rtimes Q)$ where $Q \leq C_{p-1}^{2}$.
(v) $P \cong \Delta(a, a), a \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(P \rtimes Q)$ for some $p^{\prime}$-group $Q \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$.
(vi) $p=2, P \cong \Delta(a, 1), a \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}\left(A_{4} \rtimes C_{2^{a}}\right)$ where $C_{2^{a}}$ acts as a transposition in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{4}\right)=S_{4}$.
(vii) $p=3, P \cong \Delta(a, 1), a \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$ where $G \in\left\{M_{9} \rtimes C_{3^{a}}, M_{9} \rtimes D_{2 \cdot 3^{a}}\right\}$. Here the image of $C_{3^{a}}$ and $D_{2 \cdot 3^{a}}$ in $\operatorname{Out}\left(M_{9}\right)$ is $C_{3}$ and $S_{3}$ respectively.

Proof. The case $P \in\left\{D_{8}, Q_{8}\right\}$ is well-known and can be found in [6. Theorem 5.3], for instance. If $p=2$ and $P=\Gamma(a, b)$ with $|P| \geq 16$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial, i. e. $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(P)$ by [6, Theorem 3.7]. Then (iiii) holds. Now suppose that $p>2$ and $P=\Gamma(a, b)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is controlled, i.e. $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(P \rtimes Q)$ for some $p^{\prime}$-group $Q \leq \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ by [34] (see also [6, Theorem 3.10]). By [33, Lemma 2.4], $\operatorname{Aut}(P)=A \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$ where $A$ is a $p$-group, $|\langle\sigma\rangle|=p-1, \sigma(x) \in\langle x\rangle$ and $\sigma(y)=y$. Hence, $Q$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\langle\sigma\rangle$. After renaming the generators of $P$, we may assume that $Q \leq\langle\sigma\rangle$. Now (iiii) holds.
Next assume that $P \cong \Delta(a, b)$ for some $a \geq b \geq 1$. If $a=1$ and $p>2$, then $|P|=p^{3}$ and $\exp (P)=p$, so (iii) holds. Hence, let $a \geq 2$. Set $z:=[x, y] \in P$. Since the $p^{\prime}$-group $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ acts faithfully on $P / \Phi(P) \cong$ $\breve{C}_{p}^{2}$, we have $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \leq \operatorname{GL}(2, p)$. If $a>b$, then $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ acts on $P / \Omega_{a-1}(P) \times \Omega_{a-1}(P) / \Phi(P)$ where $\Omega_{a-1}(P)=\left\langle g \in P: g^{p^{a-1}}=1\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{p}, y, z\right\rangle$. In this case $\operatorname{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \leq C_{p-1}^{2}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is controlled, then we are in Case (iv) or (v). Hence, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not controlled. Then there exists an essential subgroup $Q \leq P$. Since $Q$ is centric and $\Phi(P)=\mathrm{Z}(P) \leq \mathrm{C}_{P}(Q) \leq Q, Q$ is a maximal subgroup. Those are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x y^{i}, y^{p}, z\right\rangle & \cong C_{p^{a}} \times C_{p^{b-1}} \times C_{p} \\
\left\langle x^{p}, y, z\right\rangle & \cong C_{p^{a-1}} \times C_{p^{b}} \times C_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(i=0, \ldots, p-1),
$$

By [11, Theorem 5.2.4], $A:=\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ acts faithfully on $\Omega(Q)=\left\{g \in Q: g^{p}=1\right\}$. Since $P / Q \leq A$, this implies $\Omega(Q) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Z}(P)$ and $Q=\left\langle x^{p}, y, z\right\rangle$ with $b=1$. Now $Q$ is the only maximal subgroup of $P$ isomorphic to $C_{p^{a-1}} \times C_{p}^{2}$. In particular, $Q$ is characteristic in $P$. By Alperin's fusion theorem, $\mathcal{F}$ is constrained with $\mathrm{O}_{p}(\mathcal{F})=Q$. By the model theorem, there exists a unique $p$-constrained group $H$ with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(H), \mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(H)=1$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{P}(H)$. We will construct $H$ in the following.
By [27, Lemma 1.11], there exists an $A$-invariant decomposition $Q=Q_{1} \times Q_{2}$ with $Q_{1} \cong C_{p}^{2}$ and $Q_{2} \cong C_{p^{a-1}}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(A) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, p)$ acts faithfully on $Q_{1}$ and trivially on $Q_{2}$. Since $P / Q \leq$ $\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(A)$, it follows that $Q_{2} \leq \mathrm{Z}(P)=\left\langle x^{p}, z\right\rangle$. Moreover, $x y x^{-1}=y z$ implies $z \in Q_{1}$. Let $\alpha \in A$ be a $p^{\prime}$-automorphism acting trivially on $Q_{1}$. Then $\alpha$ commutes with the action of $P / Q$. Since $Q$ is receptive (see [1, Definition I.2.2]), $\alpha$ extends to an automorphism of $P$. Suppose that $\alpha \neq 1$. Since $Q_{2} \leq \mathrm{Z}(P)=\Phi(P), \alpha$ must act non-trivially on $P / Q_{2}$. Note that $P / Q_{2}$ is non-abelian of order $p^{3}$ as $z \in Q_{1}$. An analysis of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(P / Q_{2}\right)$ reveals that $\alpha$ cannot act trivially on $Q / Q_{2} \cong Q_{1}$. Hence, $\alpha=1$ and $A$ acts faithfully on $Q_{1}$. In particular, $A \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$. If $p=2$, then $A \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,2)=\mathrm{GL}(2,2) \cong S_{3}$. It is easy to see that (vil) holds here. If $p=3$, then $\operatorname{SL}(2,3) \cong Q_{8} \rtimes C_{3}, \operatorname{GL}(2,3) \cong Q_{8} \rtimes S_{3}$ and (vii) is satisfied. Thus, let $p \geq 5$. Then the Sylow normalizer in $\operatorname{SL}(2, p)$ acts non-trivially on a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(2, p)$. Hence, there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(A)$ acting non-trivially $P / Q$. But then $\alpha$ acts non-trivially on $\left\langle x^{p}\right\rangle Q_{1} / Q_{1}=Q / Q_{1} \cong Q_{2}$. This contradicts [27, Lemma 1.11].

The groups $A_{4} \rtimes C_{4}, M_{9} \rtimes C_{9}$ and $M_{9} \rtimes D_{18}$ can be constructed in GAP [9] as $\operatorname{Small} \operatorname{Group}(n, k)$ where $(n, k) \in\left\{(48,39),(648,534),\left(6^{4}, 2892\right)\right\}$ respectively.

Corollary 13. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a minimal non-abelian p-group $P$ with $|P| \geq p^{4}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is constrained. If $p \geq 5$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is controlled.

We now gather some information on simple groups in order to prove Theorem C. As customary, if $q$ is a prime power, let

$$
\operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}(n, q):= \begin{cases}\operatorname{PSL}(n, q) & \text { if } \epsilon=1 \\ \operatorname{PSU}(n, q) & \text { if } \epsilon=-1\end{cases}
$$

The following is certainly known, but included for convenience.

Lemma 14. Let $q$ be a prime power. Let $S=\operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}(n, q)$ with a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup and $n \geq 3$. Then there exists a unique integer $2 \leq d \leq n$ such that $p$ divides $q^{d}-\epsilon^{d}$.

Proof. Since a non-abelian simple group cannot have cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups, we have $p>2$. If $p \mid q$, then a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S$ is given by the set of unitriangular matrices. This subgroup is non-abelian since $n \geq 3$. Now let $p \nmid q$. If $q \equiv \epsilon(\bmod p)$, then $S$ contains a subgroup of diagonal matrices isomorphic to $C_{p}^{2}$. Hence, let $q \not \equiv \epsilon(\bmod p)$. In the following we write $q^{*}:=q$ if $\epsilon=1$ and $q^{*}:=q^{2}$ if $\epsilon=-1$. Let $x \in S$ be a generator of a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S$. We identify $x$ with a preimage in $\operatorname{GL}\left(n, q^{*}\right)$. We may assume that $x$ has order $p^{k}$. Let $e$ be the order of $q^{*}$ modulo $p^{k}$. Then $x$ has an eigenvalue $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{\left(q^{*}\right)^{e}}^{\times}$of order $p^{k}$. Since $\operatorname{tr}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{*}}$, the elements $\zeta^{\left(q^{*}\right)^{i}}$ for $i=0, \ldots, e-1$ are distinct eigenvalues of $x$. In particular, $e \leq n$. If $\epsilon=1$, then $e \geq 2$ we can choose $d:=e$ in the statement. If $2 e \leq n$, we obtain $q^{d} \equiv 1 \equiv \epsilon^{d}(\bmod p)$ for $d:=2 e$.

Now suppose that $\epsilon=-1$ and $2 e>n$. Since $x$ is a unitary matrix, we have $\bar{x} x^{\mathrm{t}}=1$ where $\bar{x}=\left(x_{i j}^{q}\right)_{i, j}$ and $x^{\mathrm{t}}$ is the transpose of $x$. It follows that $\zeta^{-q}$ is an eigenvalue of $x$. Since $n<2 e$, there must be some $i$ with $\zeta^{q^{2 i}}=\zeta^{-q}$. This shows that $q^{2 i-1} \equiv-1 \equiv \epsilon^{2 i-1}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. Since $q^{2(2 i-1)} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$, we have $e \mid 2 i-1 \leq 2(e-1)-1<2 e$ and $e=2 i-1$. Hence, we can set $d:=e$.

For the uniqueness of $d$, we note that

$$
|S|=\frac{q^{n(n-1) / 2}}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, q-\epsilon)} \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(q^{i}-\epsilon^{i}\right)
$$

is not divisible by $p^{k+1}$, since $p^{k}=|\langle x\rangle|=|S|_{p}$.

Lemma 15. Let $S$ be a finite simple group with Sylow 3-subgroup $C_{3}^{2}$ and outer automorphism of order 3 . Then $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}\left(3, p^{f}\right)$ where $\epsilon= \pm 1$, $p$ is a prime and $\left(p^{f}-\epsilon\right)_{3}=3$. Moreover, Out $(S) \cong$ $C_{3} \rtimes\left(C_{f} \times C_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The simple groups with Sylow 3-subgroup $C_{3}^{2}$ were classified in [18, Proposition 1.2]. The alternating groups and sporadic groups do not have outer automorphisms of order 3 . Now let $S$ be a classical group of dimension $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$. Then $p^{f} \not \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 9)$. This implies $3 \nmid f$ and $S$ does not have field automorphisms of order 3. According to [5, Table 5], there must be a diagonal automorphism of order 3. This forces $d=3$ and $S=\operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}\left(3, p^{f}\right)$ such that $\left(p^{f}-\epsilon\right)_{3}=3$. If $\epsilon=1$, then $\operatorname{Out}(S)=C_{3} \rtimes\left(C_{f} \times C_{2}\right)$ as desired. If $\epsilon=-1$, then there is no graph automorphism and instead we have a field automorphism of order $2 f$. However, since $p^{f} \equiv 2,5(\bmod 9), f$ must be odd and $C_{2 f} \cong C_{f} \times C_{2}$.

Theorem C. Let $G$ be a finite group with a minimal non-abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ and $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $p=2, P \in\left\{D_{8}, Q_{8}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2^{\prime}}(G) \in\left\{\operatorname{SL}(2, q), \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{\prime}\right), A_{7}\right\}$ where $q \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$ and $q^{\prime} \equiv \pm 7$ $(\bmod 16)$.
(ii) $|P|=p^{3}$ and $\exp (P)=p>2$.
(iii) $G=P \rtimes Q$ where $Q \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$.
(iv) $p>2, \mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)=S \rtimes C_{p^{a}}$ where $S$ is a simple group of Lie type with cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroups. The image of $C_{p^{a}}$ in $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ has order $p$.
(v) $p=2$ and $G=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{f}\right) \rtimes C_{2^{a} d}$ where $q$ is a prime, $q^{f} \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$ and $d \mid f$. Moreover, $C_{2^{a}}$ acts as a diagonal automorphism of order 2 on $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{f}\right)$ and $C_{d}$ induces a field automorphism of order $d$.
(vi) $p=3$ and $\mathrm{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G)=\operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}\left(3, q^{f}\right) \rtimes C_{3^{a}}$ where $\epsilon= \pm 1, q$ is a prime, $\left(q^{f}-\epsilon\right)_{3}=3$ and $G / \mathrm{O}^{3^{\prime}}(G) \leq$ $C_{f} \times C_{2}$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, $|P: \mathrm{Z}(P)|=p^{2}$ and $G$ is described in [24, Theorem 7.5]. We go through the various cases in the notation used there:

In Case (A), using that $P$ is 2 -generated and $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$ is not cyclic, we deduce that $S=1$. Here $P=\mathrm{F}^{*}(G) \unlhd G$ and $\mathrm{C}_{G}(P) \leq P$. Since $G / P$ acts faithfully on $P / \Phi(P) \cong C_{p}^{2}$, we have $G / P \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$ and (iii) holds. Assume now that $P<G$. In Case (B), the quasisimple group $C$ has a non-abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup of order $p^{3}$ which must coincide with $P$. If $P=D_{8}$, then (i) or $(\mathrm{v})$ holds by the Gorenstein-Walter theorem (there are no field automorphisms of order 2) [11, p. 462]. If $P=Q_{8}$, the claim follows from the Brauer-Suzuki theorem [11, Theorem 12.1.1] and Walter's theorem [11, p. 485]. If $p>2$, then we must have $\exp (P)=p$, since otherwise the focal subgroup theorem [16, Theorem 5.21] and Theorem 12 lead to the contradiction $|P|=\left|P: P \cap G^{\prime}\right| \geq p$. Thus, (iii) holds. Case (D) is impossible, since then $P$ has a non-abelian maximal subgroup.
Now consider Case (C), i. e. $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G) \times S$ has abelian Sylow $p$-subgroups, $S$ is a direct product of simple groups and $\left|G: \mathrm{F}^{*}(G)\right|_{p}=p$. Let $x \in P \backslash \mathrm{~F}^{*}(G)$.
Case 1: $S=1$.
Since $S=1, \mathrm{~F}^{*}(G)=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$ and so $\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{*}(G)\right)=\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\right) \leq \mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{C}_{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\right)=$ $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$ and we have $\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\right)=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G) \times K$ where $K \leq \mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Hence, $G$ is $p$-constrained and $\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$ is given by (vi) or (vii) of Theorem 12. By the model theorem, the isomorphism type of $G$ is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{F}_{P}(G)$. Since $\operatorname{PSL}(2,3) \cong A_{4}$ and $\operatorname{PSU}(3,2) \cong M_{9}$, we obtain (v) or (vi).

Case 2: $S \neq 1$ is not simple.
By Lemma 10, the maximal subgroups of $P$ are generated by at most three elements. Hence, $S$ is a direct product of two or three simple groups, say $S=T_{1} \times T_{2}$ or $T_{1} \times T_{2} \times T_{3}$. Since a Sylow 2 -subgroup of a simple group cannot be generated by less than 2 elements, we deduce that $p>2$ and the $T_{i}$ have cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroups. If $x$ does not normalize some $T_{i}$, then $p=3$ and $x$ permutes $T_{1} \cong T_{2} \cong T_{3}$. However, $C_{3^{n}}$ 亿 $C_{3}$ is not minimal non-abelian. Hence, $x$ acts on each $T_{i}$. If $x$ acts non-trivially on $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)$, then $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\langle x\rangle$ is non-abelian and $P=\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)\langle x\rangle$. But then $S$ would be simple. Similarly, if $x$ acts non-trivially on $Q_{1}:=P \cap T_{1}$, then $P=Q_{1}\langle x\rangle$. Write $Q_{2}:=P \cap T_{2}=\langle y\rangle$ such that $x^{p} \in y Q_{1}$. Then $x$ centralizes $y$. By [13, Theorem B], this implies that $x$ induces an inner automorphism on $T_{2}$. However, $x^{p}$ induces the inner automorphism by $y$. Hence, $x$ cannot have order greater than $\left|T_{2}\right|_{p}$. Another contradiction.

Case 3: $S$ is simple.
Let $Q:=P \cap S \unlhd P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S$. Arguing as in Case 2 , we see that $x$ acts non-trivially on $Q$ and therefore $P=Q\langle x\rangle$. First let $Q$ be cyclic. Then $p>2$ and $P$ is metacyclic. Since $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ needs to have an element of order $p, S$ must be of Lie type. To obtain (iv), it remains to show that $P S$ is normal in $G$. Assume the contrary. By the structure of $\operatorname{Out}(S)$ (see [5, Table 5]), $P$ induces a field or graph automorphism of order $p$ on $S$ which acts non-trivially on the subgroup of outer diagonal automorphisms of $S$. In particular, the diagonal automorphism group must have order at least $p+1$, in fact $2 p+1 \geq 7$ since $p>2$. This excludes all families of simple groups except $S=\operatorname{PSL}^{\epsilon}\left(d, q^{f}\right)$ where $p \mid f$ and $d \geq 2 p+1$. Since $Q$ is cyclic and $f>1$, we have $q \neq p$. By Fermat's little theorem, $q^{(p-1) f} \equiv q^{2(p-1) f} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. This contradicts Lemma 14 (note that $p-1$ is even). Hence, $P S \unlhd G$ and (iv) holds.

Let $Q$ be non-cyclic. Recall that in general $Q$ is homocyclic and $\mathrm{N}_{S}(Q)$ acts irreducibly on $\Omega(Q)$ (see [8, Proposition 2.5]). This implies that $P$ cannot be metacyclic, as otherwise the fusion in $P$ is controlled by $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{G}(Q)=\mathrm{N}_{G}(P) \mathrm{C}_{G}(Q)$ acts reducibly on $Q$ according to Theorem 12,

Hence, let $P \cong \Delta(a, b)$. Then $P^{\prime}$ is a direct factor of $Q$ and we obtain $Q=\Omega(Q)$. If $Q$ has rank 3, then $P \cong \Delta(2,1)$. However, by Theorem $12, \mathrm{~N}_{G}(Q) / \mathrm{C}_{G}(Q) \leq \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$ does not act irreducibly on $Q$. Hence, we may assume that $Q$ has rank 2. Now $P \cong \Delta(a, 1)$ with $a \geq 2$. If $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$ controls the fusion in $P$, then $\mathrm{N}_{G}(Q)$ would fix $P^{\prime}$. Hence, we are in Case vi) or vii) of Theorem 12. Consider $p=2$ first. By Walter's theorem (see [11, p. 485]), $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{f}\right)$ with $q^{f} \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$. It follows that $f$ is odd and $G / P S \leq \operatorname{Out}(S) \leq C_{2 f}$ by [5, Table 5]. Here $C_{2}$ induces a diagonal automorphism and $C_{f}$ is caused by a field automorphism. So v) holds. Finally, let $p=3$. Here the claim follows easily from Lemma 15.

Examples for Theorem C(iv) can be constructed as follows: Let $p>2$ and $a \geq 2$. By Dirichlet's theorem, there exists a prime $q \equiv 1+p^{a-1}\left(\bmod p^{a+1}\right)$. Then $q^{p} \equiv 1+p^{a}\left(\bmod p^{a+1}\right)$ and $S:=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{p}\right)$ has a cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroup $Q$ of order $p^{a}$. Let $R \cong C_{p^{b}}$ and construct $G:=S \rtimes R$ where $R$ acts as the field automorphism $\mathbb{F}_{q^{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{p}}, \lambda \mapsto \lambda^{q}$ on $S$. By [13], $R$ acts non-trivially on $Q$ and $P:=Q \rtimes R \cong \Gamma(a, b)$. A different example is $G=\operatorname{Sz}\left(2^{5}\right) \rtimes C_{5}$ for $p=5$.

Corollary 16. Let $G$ be a finite group with a minimal non-abelian Sylow p-subgroup and $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. Then $G$ has at most one non-abelian composition factor.

Proof. We may assume that $G$ is non-solvable. If $|G|_{p}=p^{3}$, then $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)$ is quasisimple and $G / \mathrm{F}^{*}(G) \leq$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)\right) \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{F}^{*}(G) / \mathrm{Z}\left(F^{*}(G)\right)\right.$ is solvable by Schreier's conjecture. Otherwise we have $\mathrm{F}^{*}(G)=$ $S \times C_{p^{b}}$ for a simple group $S$ and $b \geq 0$ by the proof of Theorem C. Since Aut $\left(C_{p^{b}}\right)$ is abelian, the claim follows again from Schreier's conjecture.

Corollary D. The character table of a finite group $G$ determines whether $G$ has minimal non-abelian Sylow p-subgroups.

Proof. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. We may assume that $\mathrm{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=1$. By [24, Theorem B], the character table determines whether $|P: \mathrm{Z}(P)|=p^{2}$. Suppose that this is the case. By Lemma 9, it remains to detect whether $|P: \Phi(P)|=p^{2}$. This is true for $|P|=p^{3}$, so let $|P| \geq p^{4}$. By Theorem 4 and Corollary 5. we may assume that $\mathrm{O}_{p}(G)=1$. Now by Theorem C we expect that $\mathrm{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)=S \rtimes C_{p}$ for a simple group $S$ with a cyclic Sylow $p$-subgroup $Q$. As usual, $X(G)$ determines the isomorphism type of $S$. If $Q$ is indeed cyclic, then clearly $P$ is 2 -generated and we are done.
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