COMMON TRANSVERSALS AND COMPLEMENTS IN ABELIAN GROUPS

S. AIVAZIDIS, M. LOUKAKI, AND B. SAMBALE

ABSTRACT. Given a finite abelian group G and cyclic subgroups A, B, C of G of the same order, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for A, B, C to admit a common transversal for the cosets they afford. For an arbitrary number of cyclic subgroups we give a sufficient criterion when there exists a common complement. Moreover, in several cases where a common transversal exists, we provide concrete constructions.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Reductions	2
3.	Common complements	4
4.	Proof of Theorem B	9
5.	Some more constructions	12
References		13

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that G is a group with subgroups A, B of the same finite index. Then Hall's celebrated Marriage Theorem guarantees that we can find a *common* transversal for both A, B. That is, there exists a set T whose elements comprise a complete and non-redundant set of coset representatives for both A and B. Furthermore, the total number of such common transversals has been computed in [ALM]. If three or more subgroups are involved, common transversals may not exist. For instance, the three subgroups of order 2 in the Klein group do not possess such a common transversal.

The recent interest in seeking a common transversal for several subgroups of an abelian group stems from a question of Steinhaus [Sie58] in the 1950s. Steinhaus asked if there exists a subset of the plane which can *tile* the plane when *translated* by any one of the lattices that arise by rotating the integer lattice around the origin. In the language used in this paper, Steinhaus asked if there exists a common transversal of all lattices $R_{\theta}\mathbb{Z}^2$, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and R_{θ} denotes rotation by θ around the origin.

Date: December 18, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05D15, 05E16, 20D60.

Key words and phrases. Finite abelian groups, transversals, common complements.

The first author is supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation, Project HFRI-FM17-1733. The third author is supported by the German Research Foundation (SA 2864/4-1).

It was only proved in this century [JM02] that the answer is indeed affirmative. The variant of the problem where the subset of the plane is asked to be Lebesgue measurable (but tiling is only demanded almost everywhere) has sparked much more interest and is still open. The best results to date for the measurable problem can be found in [KW99].

Variations of the Steinhaus problem have taken many forms, but the one most relevant to this paper was first studied in [Kol97]; where the question was posed if we can find a subset of the plane which is a common transversal for a finite number of lattices in the plane. Surprisingly this problem has an affirmative solution in the measurable sense when the duals of the finite set of lattices has a direct product (the dual of the lattice $A\mathbb{Z}^d$ is the lattice $A^{-\top}\mathbb{Z}^d$). In [Kol97] the problem was first posed of when a finite set of subgroups of an abelian group of the same finite index admit a common transversal and it was proved [Kol97, Thm. 1] that if the subgroups A_1, \ldots, A_n of G are direct factors in G, then they always admit a common transversal in G.

In this paper we are interested in tackling specific instances of the general problem outlined above. Recall that if $K \leq G$, with G being arbitrary, then K is said to have a *complement* in G (or to be complemented in G) in case there exists a subgroup H such that G = KH and $K \cap H = 1$. Observe that such a complement H, if it exists, is a transversal of K in G that moreover inherits the group structure of the parent group.

The first of our two main theorems reads as follows.

Theorem A. Let A_1, \ldots, A_t be complemented isomorphic subgroups of a finite abelian group G. If the smallest prime divisor of $|A_1|$ is at least t, then A_1, \ldots, A_t have a common complement in G.

Theorem A implies that two isomorphic complemented subgroups in abelian groups always have a common complement. This is false for non-abelian groups as can be seen in the dihedral group of order 8. The proof of Theorem A accompanied with more detailed statements will be given in Section 3.

Our second main theorem provides a complete description of the situation as regards transversals when three cyclic subgroups are involved.

Theorem B. Let G be a finite abelian group with cyclic subgroups A, B, C of the same order. Then A, B, C do not share a common transversal in G if and only if A (and thus B and C) has even order and the product $A_2B_2C_2$ of their Sylow 2-subgroups satisfies

$$A_2B_2C_2/I = A_2/I \times B_2/I = A_2/I \times C_2/I = B_2/I \times C_2/I$$
,

where $I \coloneqq A_2 \cap B_2 \cap C_2$.

When it comes to more than three subgroups, larger primes play a role. For instance the elementary abelian group of order p^2 is the union of p+1 subgroups of order p, but there cannot be a common transversal.

2. Reductions

We outline below some notational conventions that we will use throughout the paper.

• $[n] \coloneqq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}.$

- A cyclic group of order n is denoted by C_n , while S_n is the symmetric group of degree n.
- G will always denote a finite abelian group.
- A group is called homoyclic if it is the direct product of isomorphic cyclic groups.
- For a prime p, G_p denotes the unique Sylow p-subgroup of G.
- If $H \leq G$ and $a, b \in G$ we write $a \equiv b \pmod{H}$ if aH = bH.
- For an integer n let $\Gamma_n(G) \coloneqq \langle g \in G : g^n = 1 \rangle$. If G is a p-group, we use the standard notation $\Omega(G) \coloneqq \Gamma_p(G)$.
- For $A_1, \ldots, A_n \leq G$ let $\mathcal{T}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be the set of common transversals of A_1, \ldots, A_n in G. Similarly, let $\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be the set of common complements of A_1, \ldots, A_n in G.

We first observe that some (easy) reductions can be made.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A \leq H \leq G$ be finite abelian groups. If $X \in \mathcal{T}_G(A)$, then $X \cap H \in \mathcal{T}_H(A)$.

Proof. For any coset hA of A in H there exists $x \in X$ so that xA = hA, since X is a transversal of A in G and hA is a coset of A in G as well. Therefore, $x \in H$ and the lemma follows.

Lemma 2.2. For subgroups $A_1, \ldots, A_n \leq G$ we have $\mathcal{T}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{A_1 \ldots A_n}(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{T}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{T}_{A_1 \ldots A_n}(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1.

Conversely, assume that $S \in \mathcal{T}_{A_1...A_n}(A_1,\ldots,A_n)$ with $S = \{s_1, s_2,\ldots,s_m\}$ and let $T = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k\}$ be a transversal for $A_1 \ldots A_n$ in G. Clearly the set $ST = \{s_i t_j \mid i \in [m], j \in [k]\}$ is a transversal for A_1, \ldots, A_n in G and thus $\mathcal{T}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n \leq G$ and $N \leq A_1 \cap \ldots \cap A_n$. Then $\{g_1, \ldots, g_m\} \in \mathcal{T}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ if and only if $\{g_1N, \ldots, g_mN\} \in \mathcal{T}_{G/N}(A_1/N, \ldots, A_n/N)$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{G/N}(A_1/N, \ldots, A_n/N) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. This follows easily from $|G : A_i| = |G/N : A_i/N|$ and the equivalence between $g_i \equiv g_j \pmod{A}$ and $g_i N \equiv g_j N \pmod{A/N}$.

The next result shows that to decide whether or not a number of subgroups of an abelian group possess a common complement in the parent group, it suffices to decide whether their Sylow p-subgroups are complemented, or equivalently to assume that G has prime power order.

Lemma 2.4. Let G be an abelian group of order $|G| = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ and let A be a subgroup of G that has a complement in G. Then there is a canonical bijection $\mathcal{X}_G(A) \to$ $X_{i=1}^r \mathcal{X}_{G_{p_i}}(A_{p_i}).$

Proof. To begin with, observe that each subgroup of G (thus also G itself) is the direct product of its Sylow *p*-subgroups. Since G_p is unique for each prime p, we have $A_p = A \cap G_p \leq G_p$. Now let $f : \mathcal{X}_G(A) \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathcal{X}_{G_{p_i}}(A_{p_i})$ be given by the rule

$$\mathcal{X}_G(A) \ni H \mapsto (H \cap G_{p_1}, \ldots, H \cap G_{p_r}).$$

We will argue that f is 1-1 and onto. To see that it is onto, let $(H_1, \ldots, H_r) \in X_{i=1}^r \mathcal{X}_{G_{p_i}}(A_{p_i})$ and put $H = \prod_{i=1}^r H_i$. Then $H \in \mathcal{X}_G(A)$ by order considerations and $f(H) = (H_1, \ldots, H_r)$. On the other hand, let $H, K \leq \mathcal{X}_G(A)$ and suppose that f(H) = f(K). Then

$$(H \cap G_{p_1}, \ldots, H \cap G_{p_r}) = (K \cap G_{p_1}, \ldots, K \cap G_{p_r}).$$

By our previous remark each Sylow subgroup of H coincides with the corresponding Sylow subgroup of K. Since both H and K are the internal direct products of their Sylow subgroups, it follows that H = K establishing the desired injectivity of f.

The preceding lemma clearly implies:

Corollary 2.5. Let G be abelian group and $A_1, \ldots, A_n \leq G$. Then A_1, \ldots, A_n share a common complement in G if and only if their Sylow p-subgroups A_{1_p}, \ldots, A_{n_p} share a common complement in G_p for all prime divisors p of |G|.

3. Common complements

Recall that the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups asserts that G has a primary decomposition $G = G_1 \times \ldots \times G_n$ where each G_i is a cyclic group of prime-power order. The usual proof is based on the fact that cyclic subgroups of maximal order have complements. The following consequence of the Krull–Remak–Schmidt theorem gives an exact criterion.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G = G_1 \times \ldots \times G_n$ be the primary decomposition of an abelian group G. Then $A \leq G$ has a complement in G if and only if there exists a subset $I \subseteq [n]$ such that the projection $\pi_I : A \to \bigotimes_{i \in I} G_i$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose first that π_I is an isomorphism. Let $B := \bigotimes_{i \in [n] \setminus I} G_i$. For $a \in A \cap B$ we have $\pi_I(a) = 1$ and therefore a = 1. Since $|AB| = \prod_{i \in I} |G_i| \prod_{i \in [n] \setminus I} |G_i| = |G|$, B is a complement of A in G.

Now assume conversely that $G = A \times B$. Let

$$G = A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r \times B_1 \times \ldots \times B_s$$

be the primary decomposition. By the Krull–Remak–Schmidt theorem (see [Hu67, Satz I.12.3]), there exists $J \subseteq [n]$ such that $G = A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r \times \bigotimes_{j \in J} G_j$. Clearly, π_I with $I := [n] \setminus J$ is an isomorphism.

This is already sufficient to prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. By Corollary 2.5 we may assume that G is a p-group where $p \ge t$. We argue by induction on the rank of $A_1 \cong \ldots \cong A_t$. Suppose first that A_i is not cyclic. Let $A_i = A_{i1} \times A_{i2}$ be a non-trivial decomposition such that $A_{1j} \cong \ldots \cong A_{tj}$ for j = 1, 2. By hypothesis, A_i has a complement K_i in G. It is easy to see that $A_{i2} \times K_i$ is a complement of A_{i1} in G. Hence, by induction there exists a common complement H of A_{11}, \ldots, A_{t1} in G. Let $B_i := A_i \cap H$. Since $A_{i1}B_i = A_{i1}H \cap A_i = A_i$ and $A_{i1} \cap B_i \le A_{i1} \cap H = 1$, A_{i1} is a complement of B_i in A_i . Consequently, $A_{i1}K_i$ is a complement of B_i in G. Finally, $A_{i1}K_i \cap H$ is a complement of B_i in H. Hence, by induction there exists a common complement of B_i in G. Finally, $A_{i1}K_i \cap H$ is a complement of B_i in H. Hence, by induction there exists a common complement of B_i in G. Finally, $A_{i1}K_i \cap H$ is a complement of B_i in H. Hence, by induction there exists a common complement K of B_1, \ldots, B_t in H. Now we have $A_iK = A_iB_iK = A_iH = G$ and $A_i \cap K = A_i \cap H \cap K = B_i \cap K = 1$. So K is also a complement of A_1, \ldots, A_t in G.

For the remainder of the proof, we may assume that A_1, \ldots, A_t are cyclic. Let $G = G_1 \times \ldots \times G_n$ be the primary decomposition. By Lemma 3.1, there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_t \in [n]$ such that the projection $\pi_{i_j} : A_j \to G_{i_j}$ is an isomorphism for $j = 1, \ldots, t$. In particular, $|G_{i_1}| = \ldots = |G_{i_t}|$. Let $H \coloneqq G_{i_1} \ldots G_{i_t} \cong A_1^s$ for some $s \leq t$ (note that the G_{i_j} are not necessarily distinct). Let $G = H \times K$ and let $\pi_H : G \to H$ be the projection to H. By construction, the restriction of π_H to each A_i is injective. Let $B_i \coloneqq \pi_H(A_i) \cap \Omega(H)$ for $i \in [t]$. Since $|\Omega(H)| = p^s$, $\Omega(H)$ has exactly $\frac{p^s-1}{p-1}$ maximal subgroups. Each B_i can only be contained in the preimages of the $\frac{p^{s-1}-1}{p-1}$ maximal subgroups of $\Omega(H)/B_i$. Since

$$\frac{p^s-1}{p^{s-1}-1} > p \geqslant t,$$

there must be one maximal subgroup of $\Omega(H)$ not containing any B_i . Consequently, we find a subgroup $L \leq H$ such that $L \cong A_1^{s-1}$ and $L \cap \pi_H(A_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$ (the case s = 1 with L = 1 is allowed). Suppose that $a \in A_i \cap (L \times K)$. Then $\pi_H(a) \in L \cap \pi_H(A_i) = 1$ and a = 1 since π_H is injective on A_i . Moreover,

$$A_i || L \times K | = |A_i|^s |K| = |HK| = |G|.$$

Hence, $L \times K$ is a common complement of A_1, \ldots, A_t in G.

The proof above shows that the following stronger statement holds for cyclic subgroups of p-groups.

Corollary 3.2. Let A_1, \ldots, A_t be complemented cyclic subgroups of the same order of a finite abelian p-group G. If there are at most p distinct subgroups $\Omega(A_1), \ldots, \Omega(A_t)$, then A_1, \ldots, A_t have a common complement in G.

Note that the bound $t \leq p$ in the preceding corollary is sharp owing to the existence of the elementary abelian group of order p^2 and its p + 1 distinct subgroups of order p.

Our next result addresses the existence of a common transversal.

Corollary 3.3. Let A_1, \ldots, A_t be homocyclic subgroups of the same order of a finite abelian group G. If the smallest prime divisor of $|A_1|$ is at least t, then A_1, \ldots, A_t have a common transversal in G.

Proof. Suppose that K is the subgroup generated by A_1, \ldots, A_t . We will show that A_1, \ldots, A_t admit a common complement in K. First, we argue that each subgroup A_i is complemented in K and we observe that it will suffice to prove the claim for A_1 . Note that since $K = A_1 \ldots A_t$ and the A_i 's are homocyclic, it follows that $\exp(K) = \exp(A_1)$. Now we argue by induction on the rank of A_1 , where the base case is true since then A_1 is cyclic of maximal order. Let C be cyclic of maximal order in A_1 . Then C has a complement, say H, in K and so $K = C \times H$. By Dedekind's lemma we have $A_1 = C \times (A_1 \cap H)$, where $A_1 \cap H$ is homocyclic of rank one less than the rank of A_1 . Also, the exponent of $A_1 \cap H$ is equal to the exponent of H and so the induction hypothesis applies to $A_1 \cap H$ in H and ensures the existence of a complement for $A_1 \cap H$ in H, say D. Then it is easy to see that D is a complement for A_1 in K, as claimed. Now Theorem A applies to the collection A_1, \ldots, A_t in K proving that there is a common complement. Thus A_1, \ldots, A_t have a common transversal in K and so by Lemma 2.2 they admit a common transversal in G.

A direct consequence of the preceding corollary is that three homocyclic subgroups of the same odd order always admit a common transversal in any parent group.

To obtain more refined results, we now start to count common complements. For this purpose the following basic fact is useful.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that the abelian group G has a complemented subgroup A. Then the number of complements is

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A)| = |\mathrm{Hom}(G/A, A)| = |\mathrm{Hom}(A, G/A)|.$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{X}_G(A)$ be fixed. For every $U \in \mathcal{X}_G(A)$ and every $t \in T$ there exists a unique element $\tau_U(t) \in A$ such that $\tau_U(t) \equiv t \pmod{U}$. It is easy to see that $\tau_U: T \to A$ is a homomorphism and U is uniquely determined by τ_U . Conversely, every homomorphism $\tau: T \to A$ defines a complement of A as $U := \{\tau(t)t^{-1}: t \in T\}$. In particular, $|\mathcal{X}_G(A)| = |\operatorname{Hom}(T, A)| = |\operatorname{Hom}(G/A, A)|$. The last equality is a general fact from duality.

Note that there is a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(A \times B, C) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(A, C) \times \operatorname{Hom}(B, C)$. In some situations this cardinality simplifies considerably.

Corollary 3.5. Suppose that $A \cong C_{n_1} \times \ldots \times C_{n_k}$ has a complement in G. Then $|\mathcal{X}_G(A)| = |\Gamma_{n_1}(G/A)| \ldots |\Gamma_{n_k}(G/A)|.$

Proof. Let $A = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \ldots \times \langle a_k \rangle$ with $|\langle a_i \rangle| = n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then every homomorphism $f : A \to G/A$ is uniquely determined by $f(a_i) \in \Gamma_{n_i}(G/A)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and conversely, each such choice leads in fact to a homomorphism.

Lemma 3.6. Let $G = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t \times B$ be an abelian group. Then there are exactly

$$\prod_{i=2}^{t} |\operatorname{Iso}(A_1, A_i)| |\operatorname{Hom}(A_1, B)$$

common complements for A_1, \ldots, A_t in G, where $Iso(A_1, A_i)$ is the set of isomorphisms $A_1 \to A_i$.

Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_t)$, then $A_1 \cong G/X \cong A_i$ for each $i \ge 1$. Hence, we may assume that $A_1 \cong \ldots \cong A_t$. Obviously,

$$T \coloneqq \bigotimes_{i=2}^{t} A_i \times B$$

is a complement of A_1 in G. To every complement U of A_1 in G there exists the corresponding homomorphism $\tau_U: T \to A_1$ such that $U = \{\tau_U(t)t^{-1}: t \in T\}$, as in Lemma 3.4. Now fix $2 \leq i \leq t$ and note that U can only be complement of A_i too, if the restriction of τ_U to A_i is injective, the reason being that $\tau_U(t)t^{-1} \in A_i$ for some $t \in T$ if and only if $\tau_U(t) = 1$ and $t \in A_i$. But then $\tau_U(A_i) = A_1$ since $A_1 \cong A_i$. In this case, τ_U decomposes into a product of isomorphisms $A_i \to A_1$ and a homomorphism $B \to A_1$. Conversely, it can be checked that each such τ (that is the product of t - 1 isomomorphisms from A_i to A along with a homomorphism from B to A_1) defines a common complement $\{\tau(t)t^{-1}: t \in T\}$ of A_1, \ldots, A_t .

For cyclic groups the above clearly implies:

Corollary 3.7. Let $G = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t \times B$ with cyclic subgroups A_1, \ldots, A_t of order n. Then

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A_1,\ldots,A_t)| = \varphi(n)^{t-1} |\Gamma_n(B)|,$$

where φ is the totient function.

Now we restrict our attention to cyclic subgroups of maximal order (which are always complemented).

Proposition 3.8. Let A, B be cyclic subgroups of maximal order of an abelian p-group G. Then

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A,B)| = \begin{cases} |G:A| & \text{if } A \cap B > 1, \\ \varphi(|G:A|) & \text{if } A \cap B = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume first that $A \cap B > 1$. Then every complement of A is also a complement of B and vice versa. To see this, observe that if T is a complement of A but not of B then $T \cap B \neq 1$ and thus $\Omega(B) \leq T \cap B \cap A$, which is clearly a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{X}_G(A, B) = \mathcal{X}_G(A)$ and Corollary 3.5 implies that $\mathcal{X}_G(A) = |G:A|$. So the proposition holds in this case.

Assume now that $A \cap B = 1$ and thus $AB = A \times B = C_{p^n}^2$ where $|A| = p^n$. Since by hypothesis, p^n is the maximal element order in G, it follows easily from Lemma 3.1 that AB has a complement in G say $G = A \times B \times S$. We apply Corollary 3.7 to get exactly $\varphi(p^n)|\Gamma_{p^n}(S)|$ common complements of A and B in G. Observe that $\Gamma_{p^n}(S) = S$ and thus

$$\varphi(p^n)|\Gamma_{p^n}(S)| = \varphi(p^n)\frac{|G|}{p^{2n}} = \varphi(|G|/p^n) = \varphi(|G:A|).$$

The proof is complete.

Corollary 3.9. Let G be an abelian group and let A, B be cyclic subgroups of G of maximal order and index s in G. Then the proportion of complements of A in G that are simultaneously complements for B in G is at least $\varphi(s)/s$.

Proof. The desired proportion is

$$\frac{|\mathcal{X}_G(A,B)|}{|\mathcal{X}_G(A)|}.$$

If we write n_p for the number of common complements of the Sylow *p*-subgroups A_p and B_p in G_p , then according to Lemma 2.4 we have

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A,B)| = \prod_{p \mid |G|} n_p.$$

But n_p equals $|G_p:A_p|$, if $A_p\cap B_p>1$ and $\varphi(|G_p:A_p|)$, if $A_p\cap B_p=1$ by Proposition 3.8. Hence in all cases we have $n_p \ge \varphi(|G_p:A_p|)$ and thus the number of common complements of A, B in G is at least

$$\prod_{p \mid |G|} \varphi(|G_p : A_p|) = \varphi\left(\prod_{p \mid |G|} |G_p : A_p|\right) = \varphi(|G : A|) = \varphi(s).$$

Similarly for A we get

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A)| = |\Gamma_{|A|}(G/A)| = |G/A| = s$$

complements in G by Corollary 3.7. Therefore, the desired proportion is at least $\varphi(s)/s$, as wanted.

Notice that for each prime power index $s = p^a$ the proportion of common complements is at least $1 - 1/p \ge 1/2$, but for general n there is no positive lower bound since

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = 0$$

(see for example Theorem 328 in [HW] for a more general result).

We can now give a quantitative version of Theorem A.

Theorem 3.10. Let G be a finite abelian p-group and let A_1, \ldots, A_t be cyclic subgroups of maximal order and index s in G. Let ω be the number of distinct subgroups $\Omega(A_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A_1,\ldots,A_t)| \ge s\left(1-\frac{\omega-1}{p}\right).$$

We induce on ω . If $\omega = 1$ then all A_i share the same subgroup of order p. Proof. Hence $A_1 \cap \ldots \cap A_t > 1$ which implies that $|\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_t)| = |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1)| = s$ and thus our induction begins.

Assume now that $\omega > 1$ and that the claim holds for smaller values of ω . Without loss of generality let $\Omega(A_u) = \Omega(A_{u+1}) = \ldots = \Omega(A_t)$ and $\Omega(A_i) \neq \Omega(A_u)$ for i < u. The inductive hypothesis implies

$$|\mathcal{X}_G(A_1,\ldots,A_{u-1})| \ge s\left(1-\frac{\omega-2}{p}\right).$$

From Proposition 3.8 we know

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1,\ldots,A_{u-1}) \cup \mathcal{X}_G(A_u,\ldots,A_t)| &\leq |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1) \cup \mathcal{X}_G(A_u)| \\ &= |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1)| + |\mathcal{X}_G(A_u)| - |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1,A_u)| \\ &= 2s - s\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = s\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By inclusion-exclusion, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \dots, A_t)| &= |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \dots, A_{u-1}) \cap \mathcal{X}_G(A_u, \dots, A_t)| \\ &= |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \dots, A_{u-1})| + |\mathcal{X}_G(A_u)| - |\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \dots, A_{u-1}) \cup \mathcal{X}_G(A_u)| \\ &\geqslant s \left(1 - \frac{\omega - 2}{p}\right) + s - s \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= s \left(1 - \frac{\omega - 1}{p}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows.

As an immediate corollary we have the following.

Corollary 3.11. Let G be a finite abelian p-group and let A_1, \ldots, A_t be cyclic subgroups of maximal order of G then $|\mathcal{X}_G(A_1, \ldots, A_t)| \ge s \left(1 - \frac{t-1}{p}\right)$.

4. Proof of Theorem B

We start with the non-existence part of Theorem B.

Lemma 4.1. Let A, B, C be cyclic subgroups of the finite abelian group G such that $G_2 = A_2 \times B_2 = A_2 \times C_2 = B_2 \times C_2 \neq 1$. Then $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C) = \emptyset$.

Proof. By hypothesis, $\Omega(G_2) = \{1, a, b, c\}$ where $a \in A, b \in B$ and $c \in C$. Every complement S of A must contain an involution, which lies either in B or in C. Hence, S cannot be a common complement of A, B, C.

The next theorem addresses the key configuration of Theorem B.

Theorem 4.2. Let A, B, C be cyclic subgroups of order 2^n of the abelian 2-group G = ABC. Assume further that $A \cap B = A \cap C = 1$ and that $|A \cap BC| = 2^m$, $|B \cap C| = 2^k$ for some non-negative integers k, m. In case k > 0, there is a common complement for the three subgroups, while if k = 0 we have the following cases:

- (i) if m = 0, then A, B, C share a common complement,
- (ii) if m = n, then there is no common transversal, while
- (iii) if 0 < m < n, there is no common complement for A, B, C, but there is a common transversal.

Proof. If k > 0, then $\Omega(B) = \Omega(C)$ and the claim follows from Corollary 3.2. Now let k = 0, that is $A \cap B = A \cap C = B \cap C = 1$.

(i) Here $G = A \times B \times C$ and it is easy to see that the subgroup $H = \langle ac \rangle \times \langle bc \rangle$ is a common complement for A, B, C in G, where $A = \langle a \rangle, B = \langle b \rangle, C = \langle c \rangle$.

(ii) This part follows from Lemma 4.1.

(iii) Finally, let 0 < m < n. We assume that a common complement H for A, B and C exists and we will derive a contradiction. In this case, $G \cong C_{2^n} \times C_{2^n} \times C_{2^r}$ with r = n - m > 0 and any common complement is a group isomorphic to $C_{2^n} \times C_{2^r}$. Thus we have $G = H \times A = H \times B = H \times C$ and so

$$BC = BC \cap G = BC \cap BH = B \times (BC \cap H).$$

As $BC = B \times C \cong C_{2^n} \times C_{2^n}$, we conclude that $BC \cap H$ is a cyclic group of order 2^n . Now note that BC has only three involutions, namely $b^{2^{n-1}}, c^{2^{n-1}}$ and $(bc)^{2^{n-1}}$. Since $H \cap B = H \cap C = 1$, we see that the only involution in $BC \cap H$ is $(bc)^{2^{n-1}}$. Similarly, since $A \cap B = A \cap C = 1$, the unique involution of the non-trivial cyclic subgroup $BC \cap A$ is $(bc)^{2^{n-1}}$. We conclude that

$$(bc)^{2^{n-1}} \in A \cap BC \cap H_{2}$$

contradicting the fact that $A \cap H = 1$.

To conclude the proof, we must show that $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C)$ is non-empty. Since $A \cap BC = \langle a^{2^r} \rangle$, we may assume that $a^{2^r} = (bc)^{2^r}$.

We define the map $\sigma : \{0, ..., 2^n - 1\} \to \{0, ..., 2^n - 1\}$, as

$$\sigma(i) = t \cdot 2^m + \frac{i-t}{2^r},$$

where $0 \leq t < 2^r$ with $i \equiv t \pmod{2^r}$. We note first that $0 \leq \frac{i-t}{2^r} < 2^m$ and

$$0 \leq \sigma(i) \leq (2^r - 1)2^m + \frac{i - t}{2^r} < 2^n.$$

Suppose next that $\sigma(i) = \sigma(j)$ with $j \equiv t' \pmod{2^r}$. Computing modulo 2^m , we obtain $i-t \equiv j-t' \pmod{2^n}$ and hence i-t = j-t'. But then $t2^m = t'2^m$ and t = t' as well as i = j. We have shown that σ is a permutation. We also have $i - \sigma(i) = -t \cdot 2^m + \frac{i(2^r-1)+t}{2^r}$. Furthermore, if $i \equiv v \pmod{2^r}$ then

$$(i - \sigma(i)) - (v - \sigma(v)) = \frac{(i - v)(2^r - 1)}{2^r}$$
(4.1)

Let $X = \{ b^i c^{\sigma(i)} \mid i \in \{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\} \}$ and

$$Y = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^r - 1} a^j c^{j \cdot 2^m} X.$$

We claim that $Y \in \mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C)$.

It suffices to show that all the elements of Y are distinct (mod A), (mod B) and (mod C), as then we would also have that $|Y| = 2^r \cdot 2^n$.

• Let $a^j c^{j2^m} b^i c^{\sigma(i)}$ and $a^u c^{u2^m} b^v c^{\sigma(v)} \in Y$ for some $j, u \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^r - 1\}$ and $i, v \in [2^n]$. Suppose first that $a^j c^{j2^m} b^i c^{\sigma(i)} \equiv a^u c^{u2^m} b^v c^{\sigma(v)} \pmod{B}$. Then

$$a^{j-u} \equiv c^{2^m(u-j)+\sigma(v)-\sigma(i)} \pmod{B}.$$

Hence $a^{j-u} \in A \cap BC$ and thus $2^r \mid j-u$ which in turn implies that j = u. Hence $c^{\sigma(v)-\sigma(i)} \in B$ and so $\sigma(v) \equiv \sigma(i) \pmod{2^n}$. But $\sigma \in S_{2^n}$, so *i* is necessarily equal to *v*. Thus the elements in *Y* are distinct (mod *B*).

- Assume now that $a^j c^{j2^m} b^i c^{\sigma(i)} \equiv a^u c^{u2^m} b^v c^{\sigma(v)} \pmod{C}$ which implies that $a^j b^i \equiv a^u b^v \pmod{C}$. Hence $a^{j-u} \equiv b^{v-i} \pmod{C}$, which in turn yields that $2^r \mid j u$ and thus j = u. Therefore $b^{v-i} \in C$ and so $2^n \mid v-i$. Thus v = i and the elements of Y are distinct (mod C).
- Lastly, assume that $a^j c^{j2^m} b^i c^{\sigma(i)} \equiv a^u c^{u2^m} b^v c^{\sigma(v)} \pmod{A}$. Then $c^{j2^m} b^i c^{\sigma(i)} \equiv c^{u2^m} b^v c^{\sigma(v)} \pmod{A}$ and thus $c^{2^m(j-u)+\sigma(i)-\sigma(v)} \equiv b^{v-i} \pmod{A}$. So there exists $t \in [2^m]$ such that

$$i - v \equiv t2^r \pmod{2^n}$$
 and $2^m(j - u) + \sigma(i) - \sigma(v) \equiv t2^r \pmod{2^n}$

We conclude that

$$2^{m}(j-u) \equiv (i - \sigma(i)) - (v - \sigma(v)) \pmod{2^{n}}.$$

In view of Equation (4.1) we get

$$2^{m}(j-u) \equiv \frac{(i-v)(2^{r}-1)}{2^{r}} \pmod{2^{n}}.$$

But $2^n = 2^{m+r}$ and thus $i - v \equiv 0 \pmod{2^n}$. So i = v. Hence $2^m(j - u) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^n}$ and so $2^r \mid j - u$ which yields that j = u.

The proof is complete.

We can now prove that a similar result to that of Corollary 2.5 holds for common transversals of three cyclic subgroups.

Corollary 4.3. Let A, B, C be cyclic subgroups of G = ABC with $A \cap B \cap C = 1$. Then A, B, C share a common transversal in G if and only if A_p , B_p , C_p share a common transversal in G_p for all prime divisors p of |G|.

Proof. Assume first that A_p , B_p , C_p share a common transversal T_p in G_p for all prime divisors p of |G|. Then the product $T = \prod_p T_p$ is a common transversal of A, B, C in G, as we can easily verify. For the other direction, we first note that according to Theorem A, common transversals always exist for three cyclic subgroups of odd order in their product group. Hence we assume that $G_2 \neq 1$ and it suffices to show that if $\mathcal{T}_{G_2}(A_2, B_2, C_2) = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C) = \emptyset$. In view of Theorem 4.2 we have $\mathcal{T}_{G_2}(A_2, B_2, C_2) = \emptyset$ if and only if $A_2 \leq B_2C_2$ while $B_2 \cap C_2 = 1$ (after some rearrangement of A, B, C). But A_2, B_2, C_2 are all cyclic groups of the same order with trivial intersection while their product is $G_2 \neq 1$ and thus $G_2 = A_2 \times B_2 = B_2 \times C_2 = A_2 \times C_2$. We are therefore in the situation described in Lemma 4.1 and thus we get $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C) = \emptyset$.

Theorem B is now an easy consequence of the preceding corollary.

Proof of Theorem B. By Lemma 2.2, we may assume that G = ABC. Then A, B and C are cyclic of maximal order, so they are complemented in A. If |A| is odd, then the claim follows from Theorem A. We may assume therefore, that |A| is even. In view of Corollary 4.3, we have that $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{G_2}(A_2, B_2, C_2) = \emptyset$. But $\mathcal{T}_{G_2}(A_2, B_2, C_2)$ is the empty set if and only if $\mathcal{T}_{X/Y}(A_2/Y, B_2/Y, C_2/Y) = \emptyset$, where

 $X = A_2 B_2 C_2$ and $Y = A_2 \cap B_2 \cap C_2$. Appealing to Theorem 4.2 completes the proof.

5. Some more constructions

In this section we will provide some methods to construct a common transversal for three subgroups A, B, C of G. We start with the following generalization of Theorem 1 in [Kol97].

Theorem 5.1. Let B_1, \ldots, B_t be subgroups of G of the same order m. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^t B_i$, and assume that $\mathcal{T}_X(B_1,\ldots,B_t) \neq \emptyset$. If $A \leq G$ with |A| = m and $AX = A \times X$, then $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B_1, \ldots, B_t) \neq \emptyset.$

Clearly, in view of Lemma 2.2, we may assume that $G = AX = A \times X$. Let Proof. $T \in \mathcal{T}_X(B_1,\ldots,B_t)$. Then |T| = |X|/m, while $|G| = m \cdot |X| = m^2 \cdot |T|$. Observe that for every $b \in B_1$, the set $bT \in \mathcal{T}_X(B_1, \ldots, B_t)$. In addition,

$$bT \cap b'T = \emptyset$$
, for all distinct $b, b' \in B_1$, (5.1)

or else we would get $bt_1 = b't_2$ for distinct $t_1, t_2 \in T$, contradicting the fact that T is a transversal for B_1 . We write $B_1 = \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ and $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ and we claim that the set

$$D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i T$$

is an element of $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B_1, \ldots, B_t)$.

We first show that no two elements in D are in the same A or B_i -coset for all $i \in [t]$. Assume first that

$$a_i b_i t_1 \equiv a_j b_j t_2 \pmod{A}$$

for $a_i, a_j \in A$, $b_i, b_j \in B_1$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$. Then $b_i t_1 \equiv b_j t_2 \pmod{A}$ and so $b_i b_j^{-1} t_1 t_2^{-1} \in C$ $A \cap X$. As the latter group is trivial, we get $b_i t_1 = b_j t_2$, i = j and $t_1 = t_2$ by (5.1).

Regarding the cosets of B_i for $i \in [t]$ we see that if

$$a_i b_i t_1 \equiv a_j b_j t_2 \pmod{B_i},$$

then $a_i a_i^{-1} = b_i^{-1} t_1^{-1} b_j t_2 \in X \cap A$. So $a_i = a_j$, that is i = j. Hence the last congruence implies that $t_1 \equiv t_2 \pmod{B_i}$, which means that $t_1 = t_2$, since $T \in \mathcal{T}_X(B_1, \ldots, B_t)$.

Observe that, as no two elements in D are in the same A-coset, they are necessarily distinct and thus |D| = m|T| = |X| equals the index of A in G, as well as that of B_i in G, for all $i \in [t]$. We conclude that D is a common transversal for A, B_1, \ldots, B_t in G, and the theorem follows.

As we know, if B_1 , B_2 are subgroups of G of the same order, then a common transversal exists. Hence Theorem 5.1 clearly implies the following.

Corollary 5.2. Let G be an abelian group and $A, B, C \leq G$ of the same order m. Assume further that $ABC = A \times BC$ and let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{BC}(B, C)$. Then $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i T$ is a common transversal of A, B, C in ABC, where $A = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$. The above corollary works for any three subgroups A, B, C of the same order, without assuming that they are cyclic, but with the extra hypothesis that $ABC = A \times BC$. We have not managed to relax this last hypothesis without some restrictions on the type of A, B, C. For A, B, C cyclic subgroups we are able, in some cases, to construct the desired common transversal as the next theorem shows.

Theorem 5.3. Let G = ABC with cyclic subgroups A, B, C of order p^n where p is an odd prime. Assume further that $A \cap B = A \cap C = B \cap C = 1$ while $A \cap BC$ has order p^m . Then for a, b, c generators of A, B, C respectively, with $a^{p^{n-m}} = (bc)^{p^{n-m}}$ the set

$$T = \left\{ a^{i}b^{j-i}c^{-j} : i \in [p^{n-m}], j \in [p^{n}] \right\}$$

is in $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C)$.

Proof. Let $A = \langle a \rangle$ and r = n - m. Since $A \cap BC = \langle a^{p^r} \rangle$, we may assume that $a^{p^r} = (bc)^{p^r}$ for appropriate generators b, c of B and C. We argue that the set $T = \{a^i b^{j-i} c^{-j} : i \in [p^r], j \in [p^n]\}$ is in $\mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C)$.

Let $a^i b^{j-i} c^{-j}$, $a^u b^{v-u} c^{-v} \in T$ for some $i, u \in [p^r]$ and $j, v \in [p^n]$. Suppose that $a^i b^{j-i} c^{-j} \equiv a^u b^{v-u} c^{-v} \pmod{B}$. Then $a^{i-u} \equiv c^{j-v} \pmod{B}$ and thus $a^{i-u} \in A \cap BC$. Thus p^r divides i - u and so i = u as they are in $[p^r]$. So $c^{j-v} \in B \cap C = 1$, which yields that p^n divides j - v and thus j = v. We see therefore that each element in T defines a unique coset of B in G. The proof that each element in T defines a unique coset of C in G is entirely analogous so we omit it and we deal next with the case of A. The congruence here is $b^{j-i}c^{-j} \equiv b^{v-u}c^{-v} \pmod{A}$ and it yields $b^{(j-v)-(i-u)} \equiv c^{j-v} \pmod{A}$. Thus $b^{(j-v)-(i-u)}c^{-(j-v)} \in A \cap BC = \langle (bc)^{p^r} \rangle$. Since $B \cap C = 1$, it follows that there exists a t such that

$$(j-v) - (i-u) \equiv tp^r \pmod{p^n}$$
 and $v-j \equiv tp^r \pmod{p^n}$.

Thus $u-i \equiv 2tp^r \pmod{p^n}$ and so $u-i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$. It follows that i = u as $i, u \in [p^r]$. Therefore $j - v \equiv tp^r \equiv v - j \pmod{p^n}$ and so $2(j - v) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$. As p is an odd prime we get $p^n \mid j - v$ forcing j = v, as wanted.

We have therefore shown that all the elements of T are in distinct A, B and C cosets. Hence the elements of T are pairwise distinct while the cardinality $|T| = p^{2n-m}$ is the correct one and thus $T \in \mathcal{T}_G(A, B, C)$.

Data availability statement Data sharing was not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed.

Funding and/or Conflicts of interests/Competing interests. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- [ALM] S.Aivazidis, M. Loukaki and T. Müller, On the common transversal probability in finite groups, https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.00986
- [HW] G.H.Hardy and E.M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 6th ed, 2009, Oxford Univ. Press.
- [Hu67] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [JM02] S. Jackson and R. Mauldin, On a lattice problem of H. Steinhaus, J. Am. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 4, 817–856.

- [Kol97] M. N. Kolountzakis, *Multi-lattice tiles*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1997** (1997), no. 19, 937–952.
- [KW99] M. N. Kolountzakis and T. Wolff, On the Steinhaus tiling problem, Mathematika 46 (1999), no. 02, 253–280.
- [Sie58] W. Sierpiński, Sur un probleme de H. Steinhaus concernant les ensembles de points sur le plan, Fundam. Math. 2 (1958), no. 46, 191–194.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, VOUTES CAMPUS, 70013 HERAKLION, GREECE

Email address: s.aivazidis@uoc.gr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, VOUTES CAMPUS, 70013 HERAKLION, GREECE

Email address: mloukaki@uoc.gr

Institut für Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik, Leibniz Universität Han-Nover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Email address: sambale@math.uni-hannover.de