

The reciprocal character of the conjugation action

Benjamin Sambale*

October 21, 2020

Abstract

For a finite group G we investigate the smallest positive integer $e(G)$ such that the map sending $g \in G$ to $e(G)|G : C_G(g)|$ is a generalized character of G . It turns out that $e(G)$ is strongly influenced by local data, but behaves irregularly for non-abelian simple groups. We interpret $e(G)$ as an elementary divisor of a certain non-negative integral matrix related to the character table of G . Our methods applied to Brauer characters also answers a recent question of Navarro: The p -Brauer character table of G determines $|G|_{p'}$.

Keywords: conjugation action, generalized character

AMS classification: 20C15, 20C20

1 Introduction

The conjugation action of a finite group G on itself determines a permutation character π such that $\pi(g) = |C_G(g)|$ for $g \in G$. Many authors have studied the decomposition of π into irreducible complex characters (see [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 17]). In the present paper we study the reciprocal class function $\tilde{\pi}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}(g) := |C_G(g)|^{-1}$$

for $g \in G$. By a result of Knörr (see [12, Problem 1.3(c)] or Proposition 1 below), there exists a positive integer m such that $m\tilde{\pi}$ is a generalized character of G . Since $\pi(1) = |G|$, it is obvious that $|G|$ divides m . If also $n\tilde{\pi}$ is a generalized character, then so is $\gcd(m, n)\tilde{\pi}$ by Euclidean division. We investigate the smallest positive integer $e(G)$ such that $e(G)|G|\tilde{\pi}$ is a generalized character. In most situations it is more convenient to work with the complementary divisor $e'(G) := |G|/e(G)$ which is also an integer by Proposition 1 below.

We first demonstrate that many local properties of G are encoded in $e(G)$. In the subsequent section we illustrate by examples that most of our theorems cannot be generalized directly. For many simple groups we show that $e'(G)$ is “small”. In the last section we develop a similar theory of Brauer characters. Here we take the opportunity to show that $|G|_{p'}$ is determined by the p -Brauer character table of G . This answers [13, Question A]. Finally, we give a partial answer to [13, Question C].

*Institut für Algebra, Zahlentheorie und Diskrete Mathematik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany, sambale@math.uni-hannover.de

2 Ordinary characters

Our notation follows mostly Navarro's books [11, 12]. In particular, the set of algebraic integers in \mathbb{C} is denoted by \mathbf{R} . The set of p -elements (resp. p' -elements) of G is denoted by G_p (resp. $G_{p'}$, deviating from [11]). The usual scalar product of class functions χ, ψ of G is denoted by $[\chi, \psi] = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}$. For any real generalized character ρ and any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ we will often use the fact $[\rho, \chi] = [\rho, \bar{\chi}]$ without further reference.

Proposition 1. *For every finite group G the following holds:*

- (i) $e(G)$ divides $|G : Z(G)|$. In particular, $e'(G)$ is an integer divisible by $|Z(G)|$.
- (ii) If $|G|$ is even, so is $e'(G)$.

Proof.

- (i) Let $Z := Z(G)$. We need to check that $|G||G : Z|[\tilde{\pi}, \chi]$ is an integer for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Since $\tilde{\pi}$ is constant on the cosets of Z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |G||G : Z|[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] &= \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|G : C_G(g)|\chi(g)}{|Z|} = \sum_{gZ \in G/Z} \frac{|G : C_G(g)|}{|Z|} \sum_{z \in Z} \chi(gz) \\ &= \sum_{gZ \in G/Z} \frac{|G : C_G(g)|\chi(g)}{|Z|\chi(1)} \sum_{z \in Z} \chi(z) = [\chi_Z, 1_Z] \sum_{gZ \in G/Z} \frac{|G : C_G(g)|\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, only the characters $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G/Z)$ can occur as constituents of $\tilde{\pi}$ and in this case

$$|G||G : Z|[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] = \sum_{gZ \in G/Z} |G : C_G(g)|\chi(g)$$

is an algebraic integer. Since the Galois group of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}_{|G|}$ permutes the conjugacy classes of G (preserving their lengths), $|G||G : Z|[\tilde{\pi}, \chi]$ is also rational, so it must be an integer.

- (ii) Let $|G|$ be even. As in (i), it suffices to show that $|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi]$ is even for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Let Γ be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of G . Let I be a maximal ideal of \mathbf{R} containing 2. For every integer m we have $m^2 \equiv m \pmod{I}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] &= \sum_{g \in G} |G : C_G(g)|\chi(g) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} |G : C_G(x)|^2\chi(x) \\ &\equiv \sum_{x \in \Gamma} |G : C_G(x)|\chi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = |G|[1_G, \chi] \equiv 0 \pmod{I}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] \in \mathbb{Z} \cap I = 2\mathbb{Z}$. □

The proof of part (i) actually shows that $e(G)|G|\tilde{\pi}$ is a generalized character of $G/Z(G)$ and $|G||G : Z(G)|[\tilde{\pi}, \chi]$ is divisible by $\chi(1)$. Part (ii) might suggest that the smallest prime divisor of $|G|$ always divides $e'(G)$. However, there are non-trivial groups G such that $e'(G) = 1$. A concrete example of order $3^9 5^5$ will be constructed in the next section. We will show later that $e(G) = 1$ if and only if G is abelian.

Proposition 2.

(i) For finite groups G_1 and G_2 we have $e(G_1 \times G_2) = e(G_1)e(G_2)$.

(ii) If G is nilpotent, then $e'(G) = |Z(G)|$ and every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G/Z(G))$ is a constituent of $\tilde{\pi}$.

Proof.

(i) It is clear that $\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}_1 \times \tilde{\pi}_2$ where $\tilde{\pi}_i$ denotes the respective class function on G_i . This shows that $e(G_1 \times G_2)$ divides $e(G_1)e(G_2)$. Moreover, $[\tilde{\pi}, \chi_1 \times \chi_2] = [\tilde{\pi}_1, \chi_1][\tilde{\pi}_2, \chi_2]$ for $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G_i)$. By the definition of $e(G_i)$, the greatest common divisor of $\{e(G_i)|G_i|[\tilde{\pi}_i, \chi_i] : \chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G_i)\}$ is 1. In particular, 1 can be expressed as an integral linear combination of these numbers. Therefore, 1 is also an integral linear combination of $\{e(G_1)e(G_2)|G_1G_2|[\tilde{\pi}, \chi_1 \times \chi_2] : \chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G_i)\}$. This shows that $e(G_1)e(G_2)$ divides $e(G_1 \times G_2)$.

(ii) By (i) we may assume that G is a p -group. By Proposition 1, $|Z|$ divides $e'(G)$ where $Z := Z(G)$. Let I be a maximal ideal of \mathbf{R} containing p . Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G/Z)$. Since all characters of G lie in the principal p -block of G , [11, Theorem 3.2] implies

$$\frac{|G||G : Z|}{\chi(1)}[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] = \sum_{gZ \in G/Z} \frac{|G : C_G(g)|\chi(g)}{\chi(1)} \equiv \sum_{gZ \in G/Z} |G : C_G(g)| \equiv 1 \pmod{I}.$$

Therefore, χ is a constituent of $\tilde{\pi}$. Taking $\chi = 1_G$ yields $|G||G : Z|[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so $e'(G)$ is not divisible by $p|Z|$. \square

We will see in the next section that nilpotent groups cannot be characterized in terms of $e(G)$. Moreover, in general not every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G/Z(G))$ is a constituent of $\tilde{\pi}$ (the smallest counterexample is **SmallGroup**(384, 5556)). The corresponding property of π was conjectured in [16] and disproved in [6]. We do not know any simple group S such that some $\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)$ does not occur in $\tilde{\pi}$.

Now we study $e(G)$ in the presence of local information. The following reduction to the Sylow normalizer simplifies the construction of examples.

Lemma 3. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G and let $N := N_G(P)$. Then p divides $e'(G)$ if and only if p divides $e'(N)$. In particular, if $C_P(N) \neq 1$, then $e'(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Now suppose that for all $x \in O_{p'}(N)$ we have*

$$\sum_{y \in Z(P)} |H : C_H(y)| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

where $H := C_N(x)$. Then $e'(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. Let I be a maximal ideal of \mathbf{R} containing p . Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. The conjugation action of P on G shows that

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] \equiv \sum_{x \in C_G(P)} |G : C_G(x)|\chi(x) \pmod{I}.$$

For $x \in C_G(P)$, Sylow's Theorem implies

$$|G : C_G(x)| \equiv |G : C_G(x)||C_G(x) : C_N(x)| = |G : N||N : C_N(x)| \equiv |N : C_N(x)| \pmod{I}.$$

Hence,

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] \equiv \sum_{x \in C_G(P)} |N : C_N(x)|\chi(x) \equiv \sum_{x \in N} |N : C_N(x)|\chi(x) = |N|^2[\tilde{\pi}(N), \chi_N] \pmod{I} \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{\pi}(N)(x) := |\mathbf{C}_N(x)|^{-1}$ for $x \in N$. If $e'(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then the right hand side of (1) is 0 and so is the left hand side. This shows that $e'(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If $\mathbf{C}_P(N) \neq 1$, then $e'(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ by Proposition 1.

Now suppose conversely that $e'(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $|G|_p = |N|_p$, it suffices to show that

$$|G||N|[\tilde{\pi}(N), \psi] \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$$

for every $\psi \in \text{Irr}(N)$. By an elementary fusion argument of Burnside, elements in $\mathbf{C}_G(P)$ are conjugate in G if and only if they are conjugate in N . Hence, we can define a class function γ on G by

$$\gamma(g) := \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}(N)(x) & \text{if } g \text{ is conjugate in } G \text{ to } x \in \mathbf{C}_G(P), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $g \in G$. By (1) and Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\begin{aligned} |G||N|[\tilde{\pi}(N), \psi] &\equiv |G||N|[\gamma_N, \psi] \equiv |G||N|[\gamma, \psi^G] \equiv \sum_{x \in \mathbf{C}_G(P)} |N : \mathbf{C}_N(x)| \psi^G(x) \\ &\equiv |G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \psi^G] \equiv 0 \pmod{I} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

For the last claim we may assume that $P \trianglelefteq G$ and $N = G$. Recall that $\mathbf{C}_G(P) = \mathbf{Z}(P) \times Q$ where $Q = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)$. Moreover, $\chi(x) \equiv \chi(x_{p'}) \pmod{I}$ for every $x \in G$ by [12, Lemma 4.19]. Hence,

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] \equiv \sum_{x \in Q} \chi(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbf{Z}(P)} |G : \mathbf{C}_G(xy)| \pmod{I}.$$

Since $\mathbf{C}_G(xy) = \mathbf{C}_G(x) \cap \mathbf{C}_G(y) = \mathbf{C}_H(y)$ where $x \in Q$ and $H := \mathbf{C}_G(x)$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbf{Z}(P)} |G : \mathbf{C}_G(xy)| = |G : H| \sum_{y \in \mathbf{Z}(P)} |H : \mathbf{C}_H(y)| \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$$

and the claim follows. \square

In the situation of Lemma 3 it is not true that $e'(G)$ and $e'(N)$ have the same p -part. In general, $\tilde{\pi}$ is by no means compatible with restriction to arbitrary subgroups as the reader can convince herself.

Lemma 4. *Let $N := \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)$. Let g_p be the p -part of $g \in G$. Then the map $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g \mapsto |N : \mathbf{C}_N(g_p)|$ is a generalized character of G .*

Proof. By Brauer's induction theorem, it suffices to show that the restriction of γ to every nilpotent subgroup $H \leq G$ is a generalized character of H . We write $H = H_p \times H_{p'}$. By a result of Knörr (see [12, Problem 1.13]), the restriction γ_{H_p} is a generalized character of H_p . Hence, also $\gamma_H = \gamma_{H_p} \times 1_{H_{p'}}$ is a generalized character. \square

Note that $\mathbf{Z}(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))$ is a p -group, since $\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)) = 1$. In fact, $|\mathbf{Z}(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))|$ is the number of weakly closed elements in a fixed Sylow p -subgroup by the \mathbf{Z}^* -theorem. The diagonal monomorphism $G \rightarrow \prod_p G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)$ embeds $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ into $\prod_p \mathbf{Z}(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))$. Therefore, the following theorem generalizes Proposition 1(i).

Theorem 5. *For every prime p , $|\mathbf{Z}(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))|$ divides $e'(G)$.*

Proof. Let $N := O_{p'}(G)$, $z := |\mathbf{Z}(G/N)|$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Since every element of G can be factorized uniquely into a p -part and a p' -part, we obtain

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, \chi] = \sum_{x \in G_{p'}} \sum_{y \in C_G(x)_p} |G : C_G(xy)| \chi(xy). \quad (2)$$

We now fix $x \in G_{p'}$ and $H := C_G(x)$. In order to show that the inner sum of (2) is divisible by z in \mathbf{R} we may assume that χ is a character of H . After decomposing, we may even assume that $\chi \in \text{Irr}(H)$. Since $x \in \mathbf{Z}(H)$, there exists a root of unity ζ such that $\chi(xy) = \zeta \chi(y)$ for every $y \in H_p$. Moreover, $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y) = C_H(y)$ yields

$$\sum_{y \in H_p} |G : C_G(xy)| \chi(xy) = \zeta |G : H| \sum_{y \in H_p} |H : C_H(y)| \chi(y).$$

Let $N_H := O_{p'}(H)$, $Z^*/N := \mathbf{Z}(G/N)$, $Z_H^*/N_H := \mathbf{Z}(H/N_H)$ and $z_H := |Z_H^*/N_H|$. For $x \in Z^* \cap H$ and $h \in H$ we have $[x, h] \in N \cap H \leq N_H$. Hence, $Z^* \cap H \leq Z_H^*$ and we obtain

$$|Z^*| = |Z^*H : H| |Z^* \cap H| = |G : H| |Z_H^*| |N : N_H| = |G : H| z_H |N|,$$

i. e. z divides $|G : H| z_H$. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{y \in H_p} |H : C_H(y)| \chi(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{z_H} \quad (3)$$

(the left hand side is an integer since H_p is closed under Galois conjugation). To this end, we may assume that $H = G$ and $z_H = z$. By Proposition 1, there exists a generalized character ψ of G/N such that

$$\psi(gN) = |G : Z^*| |G/N : C_{G/N}(gN)|$$

for $g \in G$. We identify ψ with its inflation to G . For $y \in G_p$ it is well-known that $C_{G/N}(yN) = C_G(y)N/N$. Let γ be the generalized character defined in Lemma 4. Then

$$(\psi\gamma)(y) = |G : Z^*| |G : C_G(y)N| |N : C_N(y)| = |G : Z^*| |G : C_G(y)|$$

for every $y \in G_p$. By a theorem of Frobenius (see [12, Corollary 7.14]),

$$\sum_{y \in G_p} |G : Z^*| |G : C_G(y)| \chi(y) = \sum_{y \in G_p} (\psi\tau\chi)(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{|G|_p}.$$

It follows that

$$|G : N|_{p'} \sum_{y \in G_p} |G : C_G(y)| \chi(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{z}$$

and (3) holds. □

For any set of primes σ it is easy to see that $\mathbf{Z}(G/O_{\sigma'}(G))$ embeds into $\prod_{p \in \sigma} \mathbf{Z}(G/O_{p'}(G))$. Hence, Theorem 5 remains true when p is replaced by σ . The following consequence extends Proposition 2.

Corollary 6. *If G is p -nilpotent and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then $e'(G)_p = |\mathbf{Z}(P)|$.*

Proof. Let $N := \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$. Since $G/N \cong P$, Theorem 5 shows that $|Z(P)|$ divides $e'(G)$. For the converse relation, we suppose by way of contradiction that the map

$$\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad g \mapsto \frac{1}{p} |G : Z(P)| |G : C_G(g)|$$

is a generalized character of G . For $x \in P$ we observe that $C_G(x) = C_P(x)C_N(x)$. Hence,

$$(\mathbf{1}_P)^G(x) = \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ x^g \in P}} 1 = \frac{1}{|P|} |C_G(x)| |P : C_P(x)| = |C_N(x)|.$$

Consequently, $\mu := (\gamma \mathbf{1}_P^G)_P$ is a generalized character of P such that

$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{p} |P : Z(P)| |P : C_P(x)| |N|^2$$

for $x \in P$. In the proof of Proposition 2 we have seen however that

$$[p\mu, \mathbf{1}_P] \equiv |N|^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

This contradiction shows that $e'(G)_p$ divides $|Z(P)|$. □

Next we prove a partial converse of Corollary 6.

Theorem 7. *For every prime p we have $e(G)_p = 1$ if and only if $|G'|_p = 1$. In particular, G is abelian if and only if $e(G) = 1$.*

Proof. If $|G'|_p = 1$, then $G/\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$ is abelian and $e(G)_p = 1$ by Theorem 5. Suppose conversely that $e(G)_p = 1$. Then the map ψ with $\psi(g) := |G|_{p'} |G : C_G(g)|$ for $g \in G$ is a generalized character of G . Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Choose representatives $x_1, \dots, x_k \in P$ for the conjugacy classes of p -elements of G . Then $\psi(x_i) \equiv \psi(1) \equiv |G|_{p'} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ by [12, Lemma 4.19] and $\psi(x_i)^m \equiv 1 \pmod{|P|}$ where $m := \varphi(|P|)$ (Euler's totient function). The theorem of Frobenius we have used earlier (see [12, Corollary 7.14]) yields

$$k \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(x_i)^m = |G|_{p'} \sum_{g \in G_p} \psi(g)^{m-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{|P|}.$$

In particular, $|P| \leq k \leq |P|$ and $|P| = k$. It follows that P is abelian and G is p -nilpotent by Burnside's transfer theorem. Hence, $G/\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$ is abelian and $|G'|_p = 1$. □

It is clear that $e(G)$ can be computed from the character table of G . There is in fact an interesting interpretation:

Proposition 8. *Let X be the character table of G and let $Y := \overline{X}X^t$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) Y is a symmetric, non-negative integral matrix.
- (ii) The eigenvalues of Y are $|C_G(g)|$ where g represents the distinct conjugacy classes of G .
- (iii) $e(G)|G|$ is the largest elementary divisor of Y .

Proof. Let $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k\}$. Let $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ be representatives for the conjugacy classes of G .

(i) The entry of Y at position (i, j) is

$$\sum_{l=1}^k \overline{\chi_i(g_l)} \chi_j(g_l) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |C_G(g)| \overline{\chi_i(g)} \chi_j(g) = [\pi, \chi_i \overline{\chi_j}] \geq 0.$$

Now by definition, Y is symmetric.

(ii) By the second orthogonality relation,

$$\overline{X}^{-1} Y \overline{X} = X^t \overline{X} = \text{diag}(|C_G(g_1)|, \dots, |C_G(g_k)|).$$

(iii) It suffices to show that $e(G)|G|$ is the smallest positive integer m such that mY^{-1} is an integral matrix. By the orthogonality relations, $X^{-1} = (|C_G(g_i)|^{-1} \overline{\chi_j(g_i)})_{i,j=1}^k$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{-1} &= (X^t)^{-1} \overline{X}^{-1} = \left(\sum_{l=1}^k |C_G(g_l)|^{-2} \overline{\chi_i(g_l)} \chi_j(g_l) \right)_{i,j} = \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{l=1}^k |G : C_G(g_l)| \overline{\tilde{\pi}(g_l)} \chi_i(g_l) \chi_j(g_l) \right)_{i,j} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \tilde{\pi}(g) \overline{\chi_i(g)} \chi_j(g) \right)_{i,j} = ([\tilde{\pi}, \chi_i \overline{\chi_j}])_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $m[\tilde{\pi}, \chi_i \overline{\chi_j}]$ is an integer for all i, j if and only if $m[\tilde{\pi}, \chi_i]$ is an integer for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The claim follows. \square

3 Examples

Proposition 9. *There exist non-trivial groups G such that $e'(G) = 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1 and Theorem 5 we need a group of odd order such that $Z(G/O_{p'}(G)) = 1$ for every prime p . Let $A := \langle a_1, \dots, a_4 \rangle \cong C_9^4$, $B := \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle \cong C_{25}^2$ and $C := \langle c \rangle \cong C_{15}$. We define an action of C on $A \times B$ via

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^c &= a_2^4, & a_2^c &= a_3^4, & a_3^c &= a_4^4, \\ a_4^c &= (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4)^{-4}, & b_1^c &= b_2^6, & b_2^c &= (b_1 b_2)^{-6}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the action of c on A is the composition of the companion matrix of $X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$ and the power map $a \mapsto a^4$. In particular, c^5 induces an automorphism of order 3 on A . Similarly, c^3 induces an automorphism of order 5 on B . Now let $G := (A \times B) \rtimes C$. Then $P := \langle a_1, \dots, a_4, c^5 \rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and $Q := \langle b_1, b_2, c^3 \rangle$ is a Sylow 5-subgroup. It is easy to see that $C_G(P) = \langle a_1^3, \dots, a_4^3 \rangle$ and $C_G(Q) = \langle b_1^5, b_2^5 \rangle$. By the conjugation action of P (resp. Q) on G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |G|^2 [\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] &= \sum_{g \in G} |G : C_G(g)| \equiv \sum_{g \in C_G(P)} |G : C_G(g)| = 1 + 80 \cdot 5 \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \\ |G|^2 [\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] &= \sum_{g \in G} |G : C_G(g)| \equiv \sum_{g \in C_G(Q)} |G : C_G(g)| = 1 + 24 \cdot 3 \equiv -2 \pmod{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $e(G) = |G|$ and $e'(G) = 1$. \square

Our next example shows that there are non-nilpotent groups G such that $e'(G) = |Z(G)|$ (take $n = 12$ for instance).

Proposition 10. *Let $G = D_{2n}$ be the dihedral group of order $2n \geq 4$. Then*

$$e'(G) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As G is 2-nilpotent, Theorem 5 shows that $e'(G)_2 = 4$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $e'(G)_2 = 2$ otherwise. Moreover,

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] = \sum_{g \in G} |G : C_G(g)| = \begin{cases} n^2 + 2n - 1 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n, \\ \frac{1}{2}n^2 + 2n - 2 & \text{if } 2 \mid n. \end{cases}$$

Since the two numbers on the right hand side have no odd divisor in common with n , it follows that $e'(G)_{2'} = 1$. \square

For many simple groups it turns out that $e'(G) = 2$.

Proposition 11. *For every prime power $q > 1$ we have*

$$e'(\mathrm{GL}_2(q)) = \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if } 2 \nmid q, \\ 2(q - 1) & \text{if } 2 \mid q. \end{cases}$$

$$e'(\mathrm{SL}_2(q)) = e'(\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 3 \nmid q, \\ 6 & \text{if } 3 \mid q. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(q)$. By Proposition 1, $e'(G)$ is divisible by $|Z(G)| = q - 1$ and by $2(q - 1)$ if q is even. The class equation of G is

$$(q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q) = |G| = (q - 1) \times 1 + \frac{q^2 - q}{2} \times (q^2 - q) + (q - 1) \times (q^2 - 1) + \frac{(q - 1)(q - 2)}{2} \times (q^2 + q).$$

It follows that

$$|G||G : Z(G)|[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] = 1 + \frac{(q^2 - q)^2}{2}q + (q^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{(q^2 + q)^2}{2}(q - 2) = q^5 - q^3 - 3q^2 + 2.$$

Since

$$(q^5 - q^3 - 3q^2 + 2)(1 - 3q^2) + (q^3 - q)(3q^4 - q^2 - 9q) = 2, \quad (4)$$

we have $\gcd(|G||G : Z(G)|[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G], |G : Z(G)|) \leq 2$ and $e'(G) \leq 2(q - 1)$. If q is even, we obtain $e'(G) = 2(q - 1)$ as desired. If q is odd, then $q^5 - q^3 - 3q^2 + 2$ is odd. Hence, $e'(G) = q - 1$ in this case.

Next we assume that q is even and $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(q) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$. The class equation of G is

$$q^3 - q = |G| = 1 \times 1 + 1 \times (q^2 - 1) + \frac{q}{2} \times q(q - 1) + \frac{q - 2}{2} \times q(q + 1).$$

It follows that

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] = 1 + (q^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{q}{2}q^2(q - 1)^2 + \frac{q - 2}{2}q^2(q + 1)^2 = q^5 - q^3 - 3q^2 + 2.$$

By coincidence, (4) also shows that $\gcd(|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G], |G|) \leq 2$ and the claim $e'(G) = 2$ follows from Proposition 1.

Now let q be odd and $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$. This time the class equation of G is

$$q^3 - q = |G| = 2 \times 1 + \frac{q-3}{2} \times q(q+1) + \frac{q-1}{2} \times q(q-1) + 4 \times \frac{q^2-1}{2}.$$

We obtain

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] = 2 + \frac{q-3}{2}q^2(q+1)^2 + \frac{q-1}{2}q^2(q-1)^2 + (q^2-1)^2 = q^5 - q^4 - q^3 - 4q^2 + 3.$$

Since

$$(q^5 - q^4 - q^3 - 4q^2 + 3)(2 - 5q^2) + (q^3 - q)(5q^4 - 5q^3 - 2q^2 - 23q) = 6,$$

it follows that $\gcd(|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G], |G|) \in \{2, 6\}$. If $3 \nmid q$, then

$$q^5 - q^4 - q^3 - 4q^2 + 3 \equiv q - 1 - q - 4 + 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

and $\gcd(|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G], |G|) = 2$. In this case, $e'(G) = 2$ as desired.

Now let $3 \mid q$. Then $e'(G) \mid 6$. It is well-known that the unitriangular matrices form a Sylow 3-subgroup $P \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ of G . Moreover, $C := C_G(P) = P \times Z(G) \cong P \times \langle -1 \rangle$. The normalizer $N := N_G(P)$ consists of the upper triangular matrices with determinant 1. Hence, $O_{3'}(N) = Z(G)$ and $N/C \cong (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \cong C_{(q-1)/2}$ acts semiregularly on P via multiplication. It follows that

$$\sum_{y \in P} |N : C_N(y)| \equiv 1 + (q-1)\frac{q-1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, Lemma 3 shows $3 \mid e'(G)$ and $e'(G) = 6$. The final case $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$ with q odd requires a distinction between $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$, but is otherwise similar. We omit the details. \square

Proposition 12. *For every prime power $q > 1$ and $G = \mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$ we have $e'(G) \mid 8$ and $e'(G) = 2$ if $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. The character table of G was computed (with small errors) in [18] based on the results for $\mathrm{SU}(3, q)$. It depends therefore on $\gcd(q+1, 3)$. In any event we use GAP [8] to determine the polynomial $f(q) := |G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G]$ as in the proof of Proposition 11. It turns out that $\gcd(f(q), |G|)$ always divides 32. If $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $f(q)$ is not divisible by 4 and the claim $e'(G) = 2$ follows from Proposition 1. Now we assume that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Then $f(q)$ is divisible by 16 only when $q \equiv 11 \pmod{16}$. In this case however, $|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, St]$ is not divisible by 16 where St is the Steinberg character of G . \square

We conjecture that $e'(\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)) = 4$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.

Proposition 13. *For $n \geq 1$ we have $e'(\mathrm{Sz}(2^{2n+1})) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $q = 2^{2n+1}$ and $G = \mathrm{Sz}(q)$. In order to deal with quantities like $\sqrt{q/2}$, we use the generic character table from CHEVIE [9]. A computation shows that

$$|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G] = q^9 - \frac{3}{2}q^8 - q^7 + \frac{7}{2}q^6 - 5q^5 + \frac{7}{2}q^4 - 5q^3 + \frac{7}{2}q^2 - 2q + 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

and $\gcd(|G|^2[\tilde{\pi}, 1_G], |G|)$ divides 6. It is well-known that $|G| = q^2(q^2+1)(q-1)$ is not divisible by 3. Hence, the claim follows from Proposition 1. \square

For symmetric groups we determine the prime divisors of $e'(S_n)$.

Proposition 14. *Let p be a prime and let $n = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i p^i$ be the p -adic expansion of $n \geq 1$. Then p divides $e'(S_n)$ if and only if $2a_i \geq p$ for some $i \geq 1$. In particular, $e'(S_n)_p = 1$ if $p > 2$ and $n < p(p+1)/2$.*

Proof. Let $G := S_n$. For $i \geq 0$ let P_i be a Sylow p -subgroup of S_{p^i} . Then $P := \prod_{i \geq 0} P_i^{a_i}$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . By Lemma 3, it suffices to consider $e'(N)$ where $N := N_G(P)$. Since

$$N = \prod_{i \geq 0} N_{S_{p^i}}(P_i) \wr S_{a_i},$$

we may assume that $n = a_i p^i$ for some $i \geq 1$ by Proposition 2. It is well-known that P_i is an iterated wreath product of i copies of C_p . It follows that $Z(P_i)$ has order p . Moreover, $C_G(P) = Z(P) = Z(P_i)^{a_i}$. For $k = 0, \dots, a_i$ there are exactly $\binom{a_i}{k} (p-1)^k$ elements $(x_1, \dots, x_{a_i}) \in Z(P)$ such that $|\{i : x_i \neq 1\}| = k$. It is easy to see that these elements form a conjugacy class in N . Consequently,

$$\sum_{x \in Z(P)} |N : C_N(x)| = \sum_{k=0}^{a_i} \binom{a_i}{k}^2 (p-1)^{2k} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{a_i} \binom{a_i}{k}^2 \equiv \binom{2a_i}{a_i} \pmod{p}$$

by the Vandermonde identity. If $2a_i \geq p$, then $\binom{2a_i}{a_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $a_i < p$. In this case, Lemma 3 yields $e'(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Now assume that $2a_i < p$. Then

$$|N|^2 [\tilde{\pi}(N), 1_N] \equiv \sum_{x \in Z(P)} |N : C_N(x)| \equiv \binom{2a_i}{a_i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, $e'(N)_p = 1$. □

Based on computer calculations up to $n = 45$ we conjecture that

$$e'(S_n)_2 = 2^{a_1 + a_2 + \dots}$$

if $p = 2$ in the situation of Proposition 14. A(n anonymous) referee noted that this number coincides with $|Z(P)|$ where P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of S_n . We do not know how to describe $e'(S_n)_p$ for odd primes p ; it seems to depend only on $\lfloor n/p \rfloor$. We also noticed that

$$e'(S_n) = \begin{cases} e'(A_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2e'(A_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

for $5 \leq n \leq 45$. This might hold for all $n \geq 5$. In the following tables we list $\tilde{e} := e'(G)/2$ for alternating groups and sporadic groups (these results were obtained with GAP).

G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}
A_5	1	A_6	3	A_7	3	A_8	3	A_9	1
A_{10}	1	A_{11}	1	A_{12}	2	A_{13}	2	A_{14}	2
A_{15}	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$	A_{16}	$3^2 \cdot 5$	A_{17}	$3^2 \cdot 5$	A_{18}	$3 \cdot 5$	A_{19}	$3 \cdot 5$
A_{20}	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	A_{21}	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	A_{22}	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	A_{23}	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	A_{24}	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$
A_{25}	$2 \cdot 3^2$	A_{26}	$2 \cdot 3^2$	A_{27}	2	A_{28}	$2^2 \cdot 7$	A_{29}	$2^2 \cdot 7$
A_{30}	$2^2 \cdot 7$	A_{31}	$2^2 \cdot 7$	A_{32}	7	A_{33}	$3 \cdot 7$	A_{34}	$3 \cdot 7$
A_{35}	$3 \cdot 7$	A_{36}	$2 \cdot 7$	A_{37}	$2 \cdot 7$	A_{38}	$2 \cdot 7$	A_{39}	$2 \cdot 7$
A_{40}	$2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	A_{41}	$2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	A_{42}	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	A_{43}	$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	A_{44}	$2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
A_{45}	$2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$								

G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}	G	\tilde{e}
M_{11}	1	M_{12}	1	J_1	1	M_{22}	1	J_2	5	M_{23}	1
HS	1	J_3	1	M_{24}	1	McL	1	He	1	Ru	1
Suz	3	ON	1	Co_3	1	Co_2	1	Fi_{22}	1	HN	1
Ly	3	Th	1	Fi_{23}	2	Co_1	1	J_4	1	F'_{24}	1
B	1	M	1								

4 Brauer characters

For a given prime p , the restriction of our permutation character π to the set of p' -elements $G_{p'}$ yields a Brauer character π^0 of G . Since $e(G)|G|\tilde{\pi}$ is a generalized character, there exists a smallest positive integer $f_p(G)$ such that $f_p(G)|G|\tilde{\pi}^0$ is a generalized Brauer character of G . Clearly, $f_p(G)$ divides $e(G)$. As in [11], we set $[\varphi, \mu]^0 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G_{p'}} \varphi(g)\overline{\mu(g)}$ for class function φ and μ on G (or $G_{p'}$). Recall that for every irreducible Brauer character $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(G)$ there exists a projective indecomposable character Φ_φ such that $[\Phi_\varphi, \mu]^0 = \delta_{\varphi\mu}$ where $\delta_{\varphi\mu}$ is the Kronecker delta ([11, Theorem 2.13]). We first prove the analogue of Proposition 8.

Proposition 15. *Let $Y_p := \overline{X_p}X_p^t$ where X_p is the p -Brauer character table of G . Then Y_p is a symmetric, non-negative integral matrix with largest elementary divisor $f_p(G)|G|_{p'}$. In particular, $f_p(G)$ divides $e(G)_{p'}$.*

Proof. Let $\text{IBr}(G) = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_l\}$ and $1 \leq s, t \leq l$. Let g_1, \dots, g_l be representatives for the p' -conjugacy classes of G . Following an idea of Chillag [3, Proposition 2.5], we define a non-negative integral matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ by $\varphi_i \overline{\varphi_s} \varphi_t = \sum_{j=1}^l a_{ij} \varphi_j$. The equation $X_p^{-1} A X_p = \text{diag}(\overline{\varphi_s} \varphi_t(g_i) : i = 1, \dots, l)$ shows that

$$\text{tr } A = \sum_{i=1}^l \overline{\varphi_s}(g_i) \varphi_t(g_i) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G_{p'}} \pi(g) \overline{\varphi_s}(g) \varphi_t(g) = [\pi, \varphi_s \overline{\varphi_t}]^0$$

is a non-negative integer. At the same time, this is the entry of Y_p at position (s, t) . By construction, Y_p is also symmetric.

Now we compute the largest elementary divisor of Y_p by using the projective indecomposable characters $\Phi_i := \Phi_{\varphi_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ let $a_{ij} := [\tilde{\pi}, \Phi_i \Phi_j]$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^l a_{ij} \varphi_j = (\Phi_i \tilde{\pi})^0$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^l a_{ik} [\pi, \varphi_k \overline{\varphi_j}]^0 = \left[\pi, \sum_{k=1}^l a_{ik} \varphi_k \overline{\varphi_j} \right]^0 = [\pi, (\Phi_i \tilde{\pi})^0 \overline{\varphi_j}]^0 = [\Phi_i, \varphi_j]^0 = \delta_{ij}.$$

Hence, we have shown that $Y_p^{-1} = (a_{ij})$ (notice the similarity to Y^{-1} in the proof of Proposition 8). Since $f_p(G)|G|\tilde{\pi}^0$ is a generalized Brauer character, it follows that $f_p(G)|G|Y_p^{-1}$ is an integral matrix. In particular, the largest elementary divisor e of Y_p divides $f_p(G)|G|$.

For the converse relation, recall that $[\varphi_i, \varphi_j]^0 = c'_{ij}$ where (c'_{ij}) is the inverse of the Cartan matrix C of G . Since $|G|_p$ is the largest elementary divisor of C , the numbers $|G|_p c'_{ij}$ are integers. The trivial Brauer character can be expressed as $1_G^0 = \sum_{i=1}^l c'_{1i} \Phi_i^0$. Therefore,

$$|G|_p e [\tilde{\pi}, \Phi_i] = |G|_p e \sum_{j=1}^l c'_{1j} [\tilde{\pi} \Phi_j, \Phi_i] = \sum_{j=1}^l |G|_p c'_{1j} e a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Hence, $e|G|_p\tilde{\pi}^0$ is a generalized Brauer character and $f_p(G)|G|$ divides $e|G|_p$. Thus, $f_p(G)|G|_{p'}$ divides e . It remains to show that e is a p' -number.

Let $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k\}$ and $X_1 := (\chi_i(g_j)) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times l}$. Let Q be the decomposition matrix of G . Then $X_1 = QX_p$ and the second orthogonality relation implies

$$\text{diag}(|C_G(g_i)| : i = 1, \dots, l) = X_1^t \overline{X_1} = X_p^t Q^t Q \overline{X_p} = X_p^t C \overline{X_p}.$$

By [11, Corollary 2.18], we obtain that $\det(Y_p) = |\det(X_p)|^2 = (|C_G(g_1)| \dots |C_G(g_l)|)_{p'}$. In particular, e is a p' -number. \square

In contrast to the ordinary character table, the matrix $X_p^t \overline{X_p}$ is in general not integral. Even if it is integral, its largest elementary divisor does not necessarily divide $|G|^2$. Somewhat surprisingly, $f_p(G)$ can be computed from the ordinary character table as follows.

Proposition 16. *The smallest positive integer m such that $|G|_p|G|m[\tilde{\pi}, \chi]^0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is $m = f_p(G)$.*

Proof. By [11, Lemma 2.15], there exists a generalized character ψ of G such that

$$\psi(g) = \begin{cases} |G|_p|G|f_p(G)\tilde{\pi}(g) & \text{if } g \in G_{p'}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $|G|_p|G|f_p(G)[\tilde{\pi}, \chi]^0 = [\psi, \chi] \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Hence, m divides $f_p(G)$.

Conversely, every $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(G)$ can be written in the form $\varphi = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} a_\chi \chi^0$ where $a_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ (see [11, Corollary 2.16]). It follows that $|G|_p|G|m[\tilde{\pi}, \varphi]^0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(G)$. This shows that $|G|_p|G|m\tilde{\pi}^0$ is a generalized Brauer character and $f_p(G)$ divides $|G|_p m$. Since $f_p(G)$ is a p' -number, $f_p(G)$ actually divides m . \square

In many cases we noticed that $f_p(G) = e(G)_{p'}$. However, the group $G = \text{PSp}_4(5).2$ is an exception with $e(G)_{2'}/f_2(G) = 3$. Another exception is $G = \text{PSU}_4(4)$ with $e(G)_{5'}/f_5(G) = 3$.

Now we refine Theorem 7.

Proposition 17. *For every prime $q \neq p$ we have $f_p(G)_q = 1$ if and only if $|G'|_q = 1$.*

Proof. If $|G'|_q = 1$, then $f_p(G)_q \leq e(G)_q = 1$ by Theorem 7. Suppose conversely, that $f_p(G)_q = 1$. Then there exists a generalized Brauer character φ of G such that $\varphi(g) = |G|_q|G| : C_G(g)$ for $g \in G_{p'}$. As usual there exists a generalized character ψ of G such that $\psi^0 = \varphi$. Since $G_q \subseteq G_{p'}$ we can repeat the proof of Theorem 7 at this point. \square

Finally, we answer Navarro's question as promised in the introduction. The relevant case ($x = 1$) was proved by the author while the extension to $x \in G_{p'}$ was established by G.R. Robinson (personal communication).

Theorem 18. *The Brauer character table of G determines $|C_G(x)|_{p'}$ for every $x \in G_{p'}$.*

Proof. It is easy to show that the (Brauer) class function

$$\rho := \sum_{\varphi \in \text{IBr}(G)} \frac{\Phi_{\varphi}(x)}{|C_G(x)|_p} \bar{\varphi}$$

vanishes off the conjugacy class of x and $\rho(x) = |C_G(x)|_{p'}$ (see [11, proof of Theorem 2.13]). Thus, it suffices to determine ρ from the Brauer character table X_p . By [11, Lemma 2.21], $\rho \in \mathbf{R}[\text{IBr}(G)]$. Similarly, by [11, Lemma 2.15 and Corollary 2.17], the class function θ , defined to be $|G|_p$ on $G_{p'}$ and 0 elsewhere, is a generalized projective character of G . Moreover, $[\theta, \rho]^0 = |G : C_G(x)|_p$. For every integer $d \geq 2$, we have $\rho(x)/d \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $[\theta, \rho]^0/d \notin \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\rho/d \notin \mathbf{R}[\text{IBr}(G)]$.

Let X'_p be the matrix obtained from X_p of G by deleting the column corresponding to x . Since X_p is invertible, there exists a unique non-trivial solution $v \in \mathbb{C}^l$ of the linear system $vX'_p = 0$ up to scalar multiplication. We may assume that the components v_i of v are algebraic integers in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}_{|G|}$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^l v_i \varphi_i(x)$ is a positive rational integer where $\text{IBr}(G) = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_l\}$. We may further assume that $\frac{1}{d}v \notin \mathbf{R}^l$ for every integer $d \geq 2$. Then by the discussion above, we obtain $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^l v_i \varphi_i$. In particular,

$$|C_G(x)|_{p'} = \rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^l v_i \varphi_i(x)$$

is determined by X_p . □

G. Navarro made me aware that Theorem 18 can be used to give a partial answer to [13, Question C] as follows.

Theorem 19. *Let $p \neq q$ be primes such that $q \notin \{3, 5\}$. Then the p -Brauer character table of a finite group G determines whether G has abelian Sylow q -subgroups.*

Proof. By [14], G has abelian Sylow q -subgroups if and only if $|C_G(x)|_q = |G|_q$ for every q -element $x \in G$. By [13, Theorem B], the columns of the Brauer character table corresponding to q -elements can be spotted. Hence, the result follows from Theorem 18. □

Acknowledgment

I like to thank Christine Bessenrodt and Ruwen Hollenbach for interesting discussions on this topic. I also thank Geoffrey R. Robinson and Gabriel Navarro for sharing their observations with me. Moreover, I appreciate valuable information on CHEVIE by Frank Lübeck. Finally I am grateful to two anonymous referees for pointing out a gap in a proof of a former version of the paper. The work is supported by the German Research Foundation (SA 2864/1-2 and SA 2864/3-1).

References

- [1] R. M. Adin and A. Frumkin, *The conjugacy character of S_n tends to be regular*, Israel J. Math. **59** (1987), 234–240.
- [2] M. S. Chen, *On the conjugating representation of a finite group*, Chinese J. Math. **2** (1974), 239–245.
- [3] D. Chillag, *Nonnegative matrices, Brauer characters, normal subsets, and powers of representation modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **157** (1991), 69–99.

- [4] K. L. Fields, *On the conjugating representation of a finite group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 35–37.
- [5] K. L. Fields, *On the conjugating representation of a finite group*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **5** (1975), 345–347.
- [6] E. Formanek, *The conjugation representation and fusionless extensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1971), 73–74.
- [7] J. S. Frame, *On the reduction of the conjugating representation of a finite group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1947), 584–589.
- [8] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.0*; 2018, (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [9] M. Geck, G. Hiss, F. Lübeck, G. Malle and G. Pfeiffer, *CHEVIE – A system for computing and processing generic character tables for finite groups of Lie type, Weyl groups and Hecke algebras*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **7** (1996), 175–210.
- [10] G. Heide, J. Saxl, P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesski, *Conjugacy action, induced representations and the Steinberg square for simple groups of Lie type*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **106** (2013), 908–930.
- [11] G. Navarro, *Characters and blocks of finite groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 250, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [12] G. Navarro, *Character theory and the McKay conjecture*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 175, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [13] G. Navarro, *What do the modular characters know?*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **114** (2020), Art. 15, 6.
- [14] G. Navarro and P. H. Tiep, *Abelian Sylow subgroups in a finite group*, J. Algebra **398** (2014), 519–526.
- [15] Y. Roichman, *Decomposition of the conjugacy representation of the symmetric groups*, Israel J. Math. **97** (1997), 305–316.
- [16] R. L. Roth, *On the conjugating representation of a finite group*, Pacific J. Math. **36** (1971), 515–521.
- [17] T. Scharf, *Ein weiterer Beweis, daß die konjugierende Darstellung der symmetrischen Gruppen jede irreduzible Darstellung enthält*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **54** (1990), 427–429.
- [18] W. A. Simpson and J. S. Frame, *The character tables for $SL(3, q)$, $SU(3, q^2)$, $PSL(3, q)$, $PSU(3, q^2)$* , Canadian J. Math. **25** (1973), 486–494.